

Chapter 20

Water Resources and Flood Risk

Preliminary Environmental Information Volume 1

Document Reference: EA2-DEVWF-ENV-REP-IBR-000815

Prepared by:	Checked by:	Approved by:







	Revision Summary				
Rev	Date	Document Status	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
01	11/01/2019	For issue	Paolo Pizzolla	Julia Bolton	Helen Walker

Description of Revisions				
Rev	Page	Section	Description	
01	N/A	N/A	Final draft	



Table of Contents

20	Water Resources and Flood Risk	1
20.1	Introduction	1
20.2	Consultation	1
20.3	Scope	8
20.4	Assessment Methodology	14
20.5	Existing Environment	29
20.6	Potential Impacts	37
20.7	Cumulative Impacts	62
20.8	Inter-relationships	75
20.9	Interactions	76
20.10	Summary	77
20.11	References	84



Chapter 20 Water Resources and Flood Risk figures are presented in Volume 2: **Figures** and listed in the table below.

Figure Number	Title
Figure 20.1	Study Area; Surface Water Receptors
Figure 20.2	Environment Agency Flood Zones
Figure 20.3	Groundwater Receptors

Chapter 20 Water Resources and Flood Risk appendices are presented in Volume **3: Appendices** and listed in the table below.

Figure Number	Title
Appendix 20.1	Flood Risk Assessment
Appendix 20.2	WFD Compliance Assessment
Appendix 20.3	Geomorphological Walkover Survey
Appendix 20.4	Cumulative Impact Assessment with the Proposed East Anglia ONE North Project



Glossary of Acronyms

CoCP	Code of Construction Practice	
CCS	Construction consolidation Site	
CDA	Critical Drainage Area	
CIA	Cumulative Impact Assessment	
CIRIA	Construction Industry Research and Information Association	
CMS	Construction Method Statement	
DCLG	Department for Communities and Local Government	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
DECC	Department of Energy and Climate Change	
Defra	Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs	
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges	
EA	Environment Agency	
EC	European Commission	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ESC	East Suffolk Council	
ETG	Expert Topic Group	
EU	European Union	
FRA	Flood Risk Assessment	
FWMA	Flood and Water Management Act	
GEP	Good Ecological Potential	
GES	Good Ecological Status	
GP3	Groundwater Protection Principles and Practice	
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling	
IDB	Internal Drainage Board	
IPC	Infrastructure Planning Committee	
LFRMS	Local Flood Risk Management Strategy	
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority	
LNR	Local Nature Reserve	
LPA	Local Planning Authority	
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework	
NPPG	National Planning Practice Guidance	
NPS	National Policy Statement	
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project	
OHL	Overhead Line	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
PFRA	Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment	
PID	Public Information Days	
PPG	Pollution Prevention Guidance	







RBD	River Basin District
RBMP	River Basin Management Plan
RIGS	Regionally Important Geological Site
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SBIS	Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service
SCDC	Suffolk Coastal District Council
SFRA	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment
SNIC	Site of Nature Conservation Interest
SPA	Special Protection Area
SPZ	Source Protection Zone
SoS	Secretary of State
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage System
SWDP	Surface Water Drainage Plan
SZC	Sizewell C
WDC	Waveney District Council
WFD	Water Framework Directive



Glossary of Terminology

Applicant	East Anglia TWO Limited.
Construction consolidation sites	Compounds which will contain laydown, storage and work areas for onshore construction works. The HDD construction compound will also be referred to as a construction consolidation site.
Development Area	The area comprising the Proposed Onshore Development Area and the Offshore Development Area
East Anglia TWO project	The proposed project consisting of up to 75 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one offshore construction operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
European site	Sites designated for nature conservation under the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive, as defined in regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and regulation 18 of the Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. These include candidate Special Areas of Conservation, Sites of Community Importance, Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas.
Evidence Plan Process	A voluntary consultation process with specialist stakeholders to agree the approach to the EIA and the information required to support HRA.
Horizontal directional drilling (HDD)	A method of cable installation where the cable is drilled beneath a feature without the need for trenching.
Jointing Bay	Underground structures constructed at regular intervals along the onshore cable route to join sections of cable and facilitate installation of the cables into the buried ducts.
Landfall	The area (from Mean Low Water Springs) where the offshore export cables would make contact with land, and connect to the onshore cables.
Link boxes	Underground chambers or above ground cabinets next to the cable trench housing electrical earthing links.
Mitigation areas	Areas captured within the Development Area specifically for mitigating expected or anticipated impacts.
National Grid infrastructure	A National Grid substation, connection to the existing electricity pylons and National Grid overhead line realignment works which will be consented as part of the proposed East Anglia TWO project Development Consent Order but will be National Grid owned assets.
National Grid overhead line realignment works	Works required to upgrade the existing electricity pylons and overhead lines to transport electricity from the National Grid substation to the national electricity grid
National Grid overhead line	The proposed area for National Grid overhead line realignment works.





realignment works area	
National Grid substation	The substation (including all of the electrical equipment within it) necessary to connect the electricity generated by the proposed East Anglia TWO project to the national electricity grid which will be owned by National Grid but is being consented as part of the proposed East Anglia TWO project Development Consent Order.
National Grid substation location	The proposed location of the National Grid substation.
Natura 2000 site	A site forming part of the network of sites made up of Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas designated respectively under the Habitats Directive and Birds Directive.
Onshore cable corridor	The corridor within which the onshore cable route will be located
Onshore cable route	This is the construction swathe within the onshore cable corridor which would contain onshore cables as well as temporary ground required for construction which includes cable trenches, haul road and spoil storage areas.
Onshore cables	The cables which would bring electricity from landfall to the onshore substation. The onshore cable is comprised of up to six power cables and two fibre optic cables.
Onshore infrastructure	The combined name for all of the onshore infrastructure associated with the proposed East Anglia TWO project from landfall to the connection to the national electricity grid.
Onshore substation	The East Anglia TWO substation and all of the electrical equipment, both within and connecting to the National Grid infrastructure.
Onshore substation location	The proposed location of the onshore substation for the proposed East Anglia TWO project.
Proposed Onshore Development Area	The area in which the landfall, onshore cable corridor, onshore substation, mitigation areas, temporary construction facilities (such as access roads and construction consolidation sites), and the National Grid Infrastructure will be located.
Transition Bay	Underground structures at the landfall that house the joints between the offshore export cables and the onshore cables.



20 Water Resources and Flood Risk

20.1 Introduction

- 1. This chapter of the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) considers the potential impacts of the proposed East Anglia TWO project on water resources and flood risk. The chapter provides an overview of the existing baseline for the proposed onshore development area, followed by an assessment of the potential impacts and associated mitigation for the construction, operation, and decommissioning of the proposed East Anglia TWO project. This chapter was produced by Royal HaskoningDHV.
- 2. The assessment also considers cumulative impacts with other proposed projects. The proposed methodology adhered to for the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) is discussed in **section 20.4**.
- 3. This chapter should also be read in conjunction with *Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology* and *Chapter 18 Ground Conditions and Contamination* due to the close association between water resources and flood risk, onshore ecology and ground conditions.
- 4. Additional information to support the assessment of impacts on water resources and flood risk is provided separately in the following appendices:
 - Appendix 20.1: Flood Risk Assessment (FRA);
 - Appendix 20.2: Water Framework Directive (WFD) Compliance Assessment;
 - Appendix 20.3: Geomorphological Walkover Survey; and
 - Appendix 20.4: Cumulative Impact Assessment with the Proposed East Anglia ONE North Project.

20.2 Consultation

- 5. Consultation is a key driver of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process, and continues throughout the lifecycle of a project, from its initial stages through to consent and post-consent.
- 6. To date, consultation with regards to water resources and flood risk has been undertaken via Expert Topic Group (ETG), described within *Chapter 5 EIA Methodology*, with meetings held in April 2018, and the East Anglia TWO Scoping Report (ScottishPower Renewables (SPR) 2017). Feedback received through this process has been considered in preparing the PEIR where appropriate and this chapter will be updated following the next stage of



consultation for the final assessment submitted with the Development Consent Order (DCO) application. *Table 20.1* provides a summary of those consultation responses that have been received as a response to the Scoping Report (SPR 2017) and are relevant to water resources and flood risk. Responses from stakeholders have been captured in the table below.

Table 20.1 Consultation Responses

Table 20.1 Consu	Date/	Comment	Response / where
Consultee	Document	Comment	addressed in the PEI
Suffolk County Council and Suffolk Coastal District Council	08/12/2017 Scoping Response	The construction / installation of cables in ducts underground requires the stripping back and stockpiling of overlying topsoil over a 50m wide strip along the length of the undergrounding before the 2 trenches are dug for the ducts. There is potential for surface water runoff to be created in significant rain events and become concentrated flow (depending on gradient directions) along the windrow topsoil stock piles. There is likely to be suspended solids in the runoff which needs to be managed so as not to 'pollute' watercourses. In areas of springs or highwater table, the duct trenches could fill with water and the ground needs to be dewatered. Suitable settlement processes will be required for the pumped water to remove suspended solids.	The potential impacts of the proposed construction techniques and installation of cabling ducts is discussed in section 20.5.5, along with mitigation measures. Each watercourse likely to be impacted is discussed. A Construction Method Statement and Surface Water and Drainage Plan will be developed and implemented in the pre-construction period.
Suffolk County Council and Suffolk Coastal District Council (SCDC)	08/12/2017 Scoping Response	The Scoping Report identifies that the substation areas have the potential to increase flood risk caused by the replacement of permeable greenfield agricultural land with impermeable surfaces forming the substation. Mitigation by surface water infiltration methods are identified and where these are not feasible then run off rates are to be attenuated to the existing greenfield rate. This is an acceptable standard approach. However, it will be important to identify to a degree of accuracy, the required land area / space required for either of these approaches at a very early stage so that the correct substation compound dimensions are established and become part of the formal development approval process.	Impacts on surface and groundwater resources are set out in sections 20.5.5 and 20.7. Section 20.6.2 acknowledges and assesses the potential for the building of permanent above ground infrastructure for the onshore substation and National Grid substation to increase flood risk during operation due to the replacement of



Consultee	Date/ Document	Comment	Response / where addressed in the PEI
			existing greenfield agricultural land. Embedded and additional mitigation measures to prevent an increase in flood risk are described in <i>Table 20.4</i> and <i>Section 20.6.2</i> , respectively.
Anglian Water	08/12/2017 Scoping Response	Reference is made to principal risks of flooding from the above project being fluvial and surface water flooding as part of the construction phase. Consideration should be given to all potential sources of flooding including sewer flooding as part of the PEI and related Flood Risk Assessment.	Appendix 20.1 is a Flood Risk Assessment to inform the PEIR, and considers all sources of flooding including sewers and groundwater. This is also discussed in sections 20.5.5 and 20.7.
Anglian Water	08/12/2017 Scoping Response	At this stage, it is unclear whether there is a requirement for wastewater services for the site. It is suggested that the PEI should include reference to the foul sewerage network and sewage treatment.	Topic Specific Embedded Mitigation is included in section 20.3 which includes consideration of foul drainage collected during construction and operation.
Environment Agency	08/12/2017 Scoping Response	The PEI needs to include consideration of abstractions points. There are number of licensed and deregulated groundwater abstractors in the study area. The data set should also include sites on the Environment Agency's groundwater levels monitoring network (there are three in the study area); works should be planned with knowledge of the sensitivity of these sites and the area around them.	Groundwater and abstractions are discussed in section 20.5.2 . The sensitivity of the groundwater resources is also considered in section 20.5.4 . Embedded and specific mitigating measures are in place to reduce impacts on groundwater sources.
Environment Agency	08/12/2017	The potential for an impact on shallow groundwater flow needs to be considered. We would also re-emphasize that the interaction of the on-shore cable with small	Impacts on groundwater and groundwater abstractions are



Consultee	Date/ Document	Comment	Response / where addressed in the PEI
	Scoping Response	abstractions does not appear to have been considered. There is no definitive statement of how groundwater abstractions in or near the cable route, or surface water abstractions downstream of where there may be river crossings will be considered.	considered in Appendix 20.2 – Water Framework Directive Compliance Assessment.
Environment Agency	08/12/2017 Scoping Response	We note that there are two main rivers within the study area, the Thorpeness Hundred River and Friston Watercourse. Depending on the types of crossing required, impacts on fisheries should be considered as appropriate	Impacts on fisheries are addressed in section 20.6.1.2 in terms of increased sediment supply. Further discussion on the impacts of the development on aquatic ecology is also provided in Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology.
Public Health England	05/12/2017 Scoping Response	When considering a baseline (of existing water quality) and in the assessment and future monitoring of impacts, these: Should include assessment of potential impacts on human health and not focus solely on ecological impacts; Should identify and consider all routes by which emissions may lead to population exposure (e.g. surface watercourses; recreational waters; sewers; geological routes etc.); Should assess the potential off-site effects of emissions to groundwater (e.g. on aquifers used for drinking water) and surface water (used for drinking water abstraction) in terms of the potential for population exposure; and Should include consideration of potential impacts on recreational users (e.g. from fishing, canoeing etc.) alongside assessment of potential exposure via drinking water	Potential impacts are discussed for construction, operation and decommissioning in section 20.5.5. Potential mitigating measures are also included in section 20.5.5.
The Planning Inspectorate	20/12/2017	The Scoping Report chapter has set out the approach to WFD assessment and that the FRA will be undertaken in accordance with the National Planning Policy	The assessment methodology is



Consultee	Date/ Document	Comment	Response / where addressed in the PEI
	Scoping Response	Framework (NPPF), however the chapter does not set out how impacts on water resources outside the remit of flood risk or WFD will be assessed. The PEI should include the methodology where necessary to assess impacts to these watercourses.	provided in section 20.4 .
The Water Management Alliance	September 2016- December 2017 Phase 1 Consultation	Any impacts on drainage need to be discussed with the East Suffolk Internal Drainage Board. There should be early discussions as there may be charges and opportunities to improve design and reduce costs to the developer and create more sustainable water.	Potential impacts during construction including direct disturbance of surface water bodies and increased sediment supply are discussed in <i>sections 20.6.1</i> and <i>20.6.1.2</i> respectively. Mitigation measures are also proposed and discussed to minimise potential impacts.
Friston Parish Council	January – May 2018 Phase 2 Consultation	Concerns over flood risk to Friston associated with installation of onshore substation in the western half of Zone 7.	Appendix 20.1 provides a detailed description of the baseline flood risk, and the implications of the project. This also includes mitigation measures where appropriate.
ETG: Suffolk County Council, Suffolk Coastal and Waveney District Council, Anglian Water and the Environment Agency	January – May 2018 Phase 2 Consultation	The Method Statement was provided to stakeholders in advance of the meeting and was discussed and reviewed at the ETG. Following this, the baseline presented in the Method Statement was agreed with the following recommendations: Inclusion of additional datasets: Protected species data (from Suffolk Biodiversity Information Service (SBIS)) Water body summary sheets (and mitigation measures) Product 4 and Product 8 flood zone risk mapping Suffolk CC flooding incident mapping	Appendix 20.1 Flood Risk Assessment considers the additional datasets including the Product 4 and Product 8 data in detail, and assesses the likelihood of the project being affected by current or future flooding from any source and whether it will increase flood risk elsewhere.



Consultee	Date/	Comment	Response / where
	Document	- Cower fleeding register	addressed in the PEI
		 Sewer flooding register Waveney and Suffolk Coastal Strategic Flood Risk Assessment: updated flood risk mapping (plus climate change) 	
		Domestic abstraction (non-licensed) and private water supply (request to Environment Agent (EA) and SCDC)	
		Ordinary water courses	
		No comments were received from the East Suffolk Internal Drainage Board.	
Expert Topic Group (as	January – May 2018	Expansion to include all abstractions (licenced or unlicensed).	These recommendations
before)	Phase 2 Consultation	Stage two Sizewell C (SZC) consultation should inform the basis for the development of a worst case scenario to deal with these cumulative impacts of the construction and operation of SZC.	have been included in the methodology used for the PEIR.
Expert Topic Group (as	January – May 2018	More vulnerable land uses should also be High sensitivity	During the description and defining of the Existing Environment in section 20.5, the sensitivity and value has been recorded in Table 20.13 as recommended by the ETG. Scoping of those factors listed has been taken into consideration.
before)	Phase 2 Consultation	Less Vulnerable land uses should be Medium sensitivity	
		Water Compatible land uses (which include a built element) should be Low sensitivity.	
		Water Compatible land uses that do not include any built element should be Negligible sensitivity	
		Removal of "pollution likely to be acceptable"	
		Sensitivity:	
		Public water supply abstractions as Medium sensitivity	
		All Principal Aquifer should be considered High sensitivity	
		Secondary A aquifers included as High Sensitivity	
		All abstractions within the study area included as High sensitivity	
		Value:	



Consultee	Date/ Document	Comment	Response / where addressed in the PEI
		 All abstractions within the study area included as High value 	
		Scale:	
		 'very minor and intermittent' impact in the 'Negligible' magnitude section means intermittent and short term 	
		 No waterbody can be designated as Low / Negligible sensitivity 	
		Scoping In Of:	
		 Potential impacts on downstream abstractions associated with any potential crossings of watercourses 	
		 Foul and mains connections of construction compounds 	
		 Storage areas within floodplain / surface water pathways 	
		Welfare at compounds (if applicable)	

- 7. Ongoing public consultation has been conducted through a series of Public Information Days (PIDs) and Public Meetings. PIDs have been held throughout Suffolk in November 2017, March 2018, and June / July 2018 with further events planned in 2019. A series of stakeholder engagement events were also undertaken in October 2018 as part of consultation phase 3.5. These events were held to inform the public of potential changes to the onshore substation location. This consultation aims to ensure that community concerns are well understood and that site specific issues can be taken into account, where practicable. Consultation phases are explained further in *Chapter 5 EIA Methodology*. Full details of the proposed East Anglia TWO project consultation process will be presented in the Consultation Report, which will be submitted as part of the DCO application.
- 8. **Table 20.2** shows public consultation feedback pertaining to water resources and flood risk. Consultation phases are explained further in **Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives**. Full details of the proposed East Anglia TWO project consultation process will be presented in the Consultation Report, which will be submitted as part of the DCO application.



Table 20.2 Public Consultation Responses Relevant to Water resources and Flood Risk

Table 20.2 Public Consultation Responses Relevan	Response / where addressed in the PEI
Phase 1	
Flooding should be taken into account during connection point decision making	A flood risk assessment has been conducted and is shown in <i>Appendix</i> 20.1
Phase 2	
Flood risk impacts – at coastal location 1, 2, 3 and 4 and at Friston (western half of zone 7)	A flood risk assessment has been conducted and is shown in <i>Appendix</i> 20.1
Phase 3	
Risk of flooding in Friston area (Zone 3 category for flood risk)	A flood risk assessment has been conducted and is shown in <i>Appendix</i>
Soil (clay) and low drainage	20.1
Flooding mitigation	Impact on drainage are assessed in section 20.6.
Local roads and existing flood risk (e.g. Grove Road)	
Phase 3.5	
Area of hard surface will result in flash flooding of Friston	A flood risk assessment has been conducted and is shown in <i>Appendix</i>
Drainage option inadequate (ford on Church Lane)	20.1
New drainage ditches may result in flooding in the centre of Friston	Changing weather patterns have been
Dependency on the village's pumping station loads additional responsibility for careful water-release management from sub-station reservoir.	addressed in <i>section 20.5.5</i> . Impacts associated with drainage are
Impacts with changing weather patterns/ more extreme weather	assessed in section 20.6.1.4.
The overflow reservoir proposed would leave the lower part of Friston prone to more flooding	

20.3Scope

20.3.1 Study Area

9. The study area for water resources and flood risk has been defined on the basis of surface hydrological catchments. Catchments have been included in the study area if they contain, or are hydrologically connected to (i.e. upstream or downstream) the proposed onshore development area. The Environment Agency's WFD river water body catchments are based on surface hydrological catchments and have therefore been used to delineate the boundaries of the study area and define surface water receptors (*Figure 20.1*).



20.3.2 Worst Case Scenario

- 10. This section identifies the realistic worst case parameters associated with the proposed East Anglia TWO project alone. This includes all onshore infrastructure for the proposed East Anglia TWO project and the National Grid infrastructure that the proposed East Anglia TWO project will require for ultimate connection to national electricity grid.
- 11. Table 20.3 identifies those realistic worst case parameters of the onshore infrastructure that are relevant to potential impacts on water resources and flood risk during construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the proposed East Anglia TWO project. Please refer to Chapter 6 Project Description for more detail regarding specific activities, and their durations, which fall within the construction phase.

Table 20.3 Realistic Worst Case Scenario

Impact	Parameter	Notes	
Construction			
Impacts related to the landfall	HDD temporary works area: 7,000m ² (70m x 100m)	Landfall to be achieved via HDD. No beach access	
	Transition bay excavation footprint (for 2 transition bays): 1,554m² (37m x 42m)	required.	
	Landfall CCS: 18,400m² (160m x 115m)		
	Landfall transition bays approximate quantity of spoil material (for 2 transition bays): 454m ³		
Impacts related to the onshore cable corridor	Onshore cable route: 287,360m² (8,980m x 32m)	Onshore cable corridor construction footprint may be	
	Jointing bay construction excavation footprint: 570m² (30.6m x 18.6m). Total for 36 jointing bays: 20,520m² (570m² x 36)	located anywhere within the proposed onshore development area.	
	HDD (retained as an option to cross SPA / SSSI):	The location strategy for access routes, CCS and jointing bays will be to site them near to field	
	• Entrance pit CCS (x1): 7,000m² (100m x 70m)	boundaries or roads as far as practical.	
	• Exit pit CCS (x1): 3,000m² (100m x 30m)	Two link boxes sit underground beside each jointing bay at a depth of approximately 1.2m.	
	Onshore cable route CCS: 18,400m² (160m x 115m). Total for 5 CCS: 92,000m² (18,400m² x 5)	The construction footprint of these is included in the jointing bay construction excavation	
	Temporary roads:	footprint.	
	Onshore cable route haul road between landfall and Snape Road (4.5m wide)		



Impact	Parameter	Notes
	with additional 4m for passing places at approximately 87m intervals): 41,376m ²	
	Onshore cable route and substation access haul road (9m width): 18,675m ²	
	Temporary access road: 23,495m²	
	Onshore cable trench approximate quantity of spoil material: 13,321m ³	
Impacts related to the onshore substation	Onshore substation CCS: 17,100m² (190m x 90m)	Construction access is included above as the onshore cable
	Permanent footprint (used as CCS during construction): 36,100m² (190m x 190m)	route and substation access haul road.
	Substation operational access road: 12,800m² (1,600m x 8m)	
Impacts related to the National Grid Infrastructure	National Grid substation CCS: 78,750m² (250m x 315m) Permanent footprint (used as CCS during construction): 45,500m² (325m x 140m)	Design for the required overhead line (OHL) realignment work (including cable sealing end CCSs and pylon realignment CCS) is currently on going. As more detail is made available, this will be fully assessed and included in the Environmental Statement (ES) and DCO application. However, indicative locations for cable sealing end CCSs and pylon realignment CCS are shown in <i>Figure 6.6</i> of <i>Chapter 6 Project Description</i> . Construction access is included above as the onshore cable route and substation access haul road. Operational access is included above as the substation operational access road,
Operation		
Impacts related to the landfall	2 transition bays will be installed underground, each with an operational volume of 227m ³	Transition bays will be buried approximately 1.2m underground – there will no above ground infrastructure.
Impacts related to the onshore cable corridor	36 jointing bays will be installed underground, each with an operational volume of 77m ³	Jointing bays will be buried approximately 1.2m underground – there will no above ground infrastructure.



Impact	Parameter	Notes
	72 link boxes will be installed underground (2 per jointing bay), each with an operational volume of 3m ³	Link boxes will be located underground immediately adjacent to jointing bays – there will be no above ground infrastructure.
Impacts related to the onshore substation	Operational footprint: 36,100m² (190m x 190m) Substation operational access road: 12,800m² (1,600m x 8m)	The operational footprint does not include the additional landscaping footprint (which will be agreed post-PEIR).
Impacts related to the National Grid Infrastructure	National Grid operational substation: 45,500m² (325m x 140m)	The operational footprint does not include the additional landscaping footprint (which will be agreed post-PEIR). Design for the required overhead line (OHL) realignment work (including cable sealing end CCSs and pylon realignment CCS) is currently on going. As more detail is made available, this will be fully assessed and included in the Environmental Statement (ES) and DCO application. However, indicative locations for cable sealing end CCSs and pylon realignment CCS are shown in <i>Figure 6.6</i> of <i>Chapter 6 Project Description</i> .

Decommissioning

No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the onshore substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.

20.3.3 Embedded Mitigation

12. Embedding mitigation into the project design is a type of primary mitigation and is an inherent aspect of the EIA process. The following sections outline the key embedded mitigation relevant for this assessment. Where embedded mitigation measures have been developed into the design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project with specific regard to water resources and flood risk, these are described



in *Table 20.4*. Any further mitigation measures suggested within this chapter are therefore considered to be additional to this embedded mitigation.

Table 20.4 Embedded Mitigation Measures for Water Resources and Flood Risk

Parameter	Mitigation Measures Embedded into the Project Design
General	
Sediment Management	Work along the onshore cable route would be limited to short sections (work fronts) at any one time. Topsoil would be stripped from the entire width of the onshore cable route for the length of the work front and stored and capped to minimise wind and water erosion. Once all the trenching is completed and backfilled, the stored topsoil will be re-distributed over the area of the work front, with the exception of the access road and any associated drainage.
	Temporary works areas (e.g. mobilisation areas and trenchless crossing areas) within the onshore development area will comprise hardstanding of permeable gravel aggregate underlain by geotextile, or other suitable material to a minimum of 50% of the total area to minimise the area of open ground.
	A Construction Method Statement (CMS) will be developed for the construction activities and will adhere to construction industry good practice guidance as detailed in the Environment Agency's Pollution Prevention Guidance (PPG) notes (including PPG01, PPG05, PPG08 and PPG21)¹ and Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA)'s 'Control of water pollution from construction sites: Guidance for consultants and contractors (C532)' (2001). Specific measures to control sediment supply that will be captured within the CMS include:
	 Subsoil exposure will be minimised and strips of undisturbed vegetation will be retained on the edge of the working area where possible;
	 On-site retention of sediment will be maximised by routing all drainage through the site drainage system;
	 The drainage system will include measures to intercept sediment runoff at source. Suitable filters will be used to remove sediment from any water discharged into the surface drainage network;
	 Additional measures will be included in parts of the working area that are in proximity to surface drainage channels;
	 Soil and sediment accumulation on road surfaces will be minimised as reasonably practicable by cleaning the wheels of vehicles leaving site and, where required, clearance of the road surface. Traffic movement would be restricted to minimise the potential for surface disturbance; and
	 Cable routeing to avoid water resources and flood risk receptors, where possible, and individual landowner requirements e.g. irrigation reservoirs where possible.
Surface Drainage	Changes in surface water runoff as a result of the increase in impermeable area from the onshore substations will be attenuated and discharged at a controlled

¹ The PPGs are revoked as regulatory guidance in England, but still provide a useful guide for best practice measures.



Parameter	Mitigation Measures Embedded into the Project Design		
General			
	rate, in consultation with the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) (Suffolk County Council) and Environment Agency.		
	The controlled runoff rate will be equivalent to the greenfield runoff rate.		
	Following consultation and engineering design work, attenuation ponds (as part of the sustainable drainage system (SuDS)) will be included at the East Anglia TWO substation and National Grid substation to provide sufficient attenuation to greenfield runoff rates into the closest watercourse or sewer connection. The full specification for the attenuation ponds will be addressed as part of detailed design.		
	A Surface Water and Drainage Plan (SWDP) will be developed and implemented to minimise water within the cable trench and ensure ongoing drainage of surrounding land. Where water enters the trenches during installation from surface runoff or groundwater seepage, this will be pumped via settling tanks, sediment basins or mobile treatment facilities to remove sediment, before being discharged into local ditches or drains via temporary interceptor drains in order to prevent increases in fine sediment supply to the watercourses.		
	Post construction surface water drainage requirements will be presented in the final SWDP and will be designed to meet the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and National Policy Statement (NPS) EN-5, with runoff limited, where feasible, through the use of infiltration techniques which can be accommodated within the area of development. The drainage strategy will be developed according to the principles of the sustainable drainage system (SuDS) discharge hierarchy. Generally, the aim will be to discharge surface water runoff as high up the following hierarchy of drainage options as reasonably practicable: i) into the ground (infiltration); ii) to a surface water body; iii) to a surface water sewer, highway drain or another drainage system; or iv) to a combined sewer.		
Pollution Prevention	Specific measures relating to pollution prevention that will be captured within the CMS include:		
	Concrete and cement mixing and washing areas will be situated at least 10m away from the nearest watercourse. These will incorporate settlement and recirculation systems to allow water to be re-used. All washing out of equipment will be undertaken in a contained area, and all water will be collected for off-site disposal;		
	All fuels, oils, lubricants and other chemicals will be stored in an impermeable bund with at least 110% of the stored capacity. Damaged containers will be removed from site. All refuelling will take place in a dedicated impermeable area, using a bunded bowser. The refuelling and fuel storage area will be located at least 10m from the nearest watercourse. Biodegradable oils will be used where possible;		
	Spill kits will be available on site at all times. Sand bags or stop logs will also be available for deployment on the outlets from the site drainage system in case of emergency spillages; and		



Parameter	Mitigation Measures Embedded into the Project Design		
General			
	Foul drainage (e.g. from construction welfare facilities) will be collected through a mains connection to an existing mains sewer (if a suitable connection is available), or collected in a septic tank located within the development boundary and transported off site for disposal at a licensed facility. The specific approach will be determined during detailed design with consideration of the availability of mains connections and the number of working hours for site attendees.		
Onshore Substa	tion and National Grid Substation (operational phase)		
Foul Drainage	Foul drainage at the onshore substations will be collected through a mains connection to the existing local authority sewer system (if a suitable connection is available) or collected in a septic tank located within the development boundary and transported off site for disposal at a licensed facility.		
Pollution Prevention	All fuels, oils, lubricants and other chemicals will be stored in an impermeable bund with at least 110% of the stored capacity. Damaged containers will be removed from site. All refuelling will take place in a dedicated impermeable area, using a bunded bowser. The refuelling and fuel storage area will be located at least 10m from the nearest watercourse. Biodegradable oils will be used where possible. Spill kits will be available on site at all times. Sand bags or stop logs will also be available for deployment on the outlets from the site drainage system in case of emergency.		

20.3.4 Monitoring

13. Post-consent, the final detailed design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project and the development of the relevant management plan(s) will refine the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA. It is recognised that monitoring is an important element in the management and verification of the impacts of the proposed East Anglia TWO project. Outline management plans, across a number of environmental topics, will be submitted with the DCO application. These outline management plans will contain key principles that provide the framework for any monitoring that could be required. The requirement for and final appropriate design and scope of monitoring will be agreed with the relevant stakeholders and included within the relevant management plan(s), submitted for approval, prior to construction works commencing.

20.4Assessment Methodology

20.4.1 Guidance

14. There are a number of pieces of legislation, policy and guidance applicable to water resources and flood risk. The following sections provide detail on key pieces of international and UK legislation, policy and guidance which are relevant to water



resources and the FRA as it has influenced the sensitivity of receptors and requirements for mitigation or the scope and/or methodology for the PEIR.

15. Further detail is provided in Chapter 3 Policy and Legislative Context.

20.4.1.1 Legislation and Policy (International)

20.4.1.1.1 Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

- 16. The Water Framework Directive (WFD) (Council Directive 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy) was adopted by the European Commission (EC) in December 2000.
- 17. The WFD requires that all European Union (EU) Member States must prevent deterioration and protect and enhance the status of aquatic ecosystems. This means that Member States must ensure that new schemes do not adversely impact upon the status of aquatic ecosystems, and that historical modifications that are already impacting it need to be addressed.
- 18. Unlike the EU Birds and Habitats Directives (European Commission (EC) Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds (2009/147/EC) and EC Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC), respectively), which apply only to designated sites, the WFD applies to all water bodies (rivers, lakes, estuaries, coastal waters and groundwater) including those that are man-made.

20.4.1.2 Legislation and Policy (National)

- 20.4.1.2.1Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017
- 19. The WFD was transposed into national law in the UK by means of the Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2003. These regulations were revoked and replaced by the Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017. The Regulations provide for the implementation of the WFD, from designation of all surface waters (rivers, lakes, estuarine waters, coastal waters and ground waters) as water bodies, and set objectives for the achievement of Good Ecological Status (GES) or Good Ecological Potential (GEP).
- 20.4.1.2.2Water Framework Directive (Standards and Classification) Directions (England and Wales) 2015
- 20. The standards used to determine the ecological or chemical status of a water body are provided in the WFD (Standards and Classification) Directions (England and Wales) 2015. This includes the thresholds for determining the status of the biological, hydromorphological, physico-chemical and chemical status of surface water bodies, and the quantitative and chemical status of groundwater bodies.





20.4.1.2.3 National Policy Statements

- 21. The assessment of potential impacts upon water resources and flood risk has been made with specific reference to the relevant National Policy Statements (NPS). These are the principal decision making documents for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs). Those relevant to the project are:
 - Overarching NPS for Energy (EN-1) (Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) 2011a);
 - NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) (DECC 2011b); and
 - NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5) (DECC 2011c).
- 22. The specific assessment requirements for water resources and flood risk, as detailed in the NPS, are summarised in *Table 20.5* together with an indication of the section of the PEIR chapter where each is addressed.

Table 20.5 NPS EN-1 Assessment Requirements with Relevance to Water Resources and Flood Risk

NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	PEIR Reference
'Where the development is subject to EIA [Environmental Impact Assessment] the applicant should ensure that the ES [Environmental Statement] clearly sets out any effects on internationally, nationally and locally designated sites of ecological or geological conservation importance, on protected species and on habitats and other species identified as being of principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity. The applicant should provide environmental information proportionate to the infrastructure where EIA is not required to help the Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC) [now the Planning Inspectorate] consider thoroughly the potential effects of a proposed project.'	Section 5.3	Existing environment is discussed in section 20.5 . Impacts are set out in sections 20.5.5 and 20.7 .
'Where a proposed development on land within or outside an SSSI [Site of Special Scientific Interest] is likely to have an adverse effect on an SSSI (either individually or in combination with other developments), development consent should not normally be granted. Where an adverse effect, after mitigation, on the site's notified special interest features is likely, an exception should only be made where the benefits (including need) of the development at this site clearly outweigh both the impacts that it is likely to have on the features of the site that make it of special scientific interest and any broader impacts on the national network of SSSIs.'	Section 5.3	Impacts on surface water habitats which support SSSIs are set out in sections 20.5.5 and 20.7.



NPS Requirement	NPS	PEIR Reference
	Reference	
'Applications for energy projects of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 1 in England or Zone A in Wales and all proposals for energy projects located in Flood Zones 2 and 3 in England or Zones B and C in Wales should be accompanied by a flood risk assessment (FRA). A FRA will also be required where an energy project less than 1 hectare may be subject to sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea (for example surface water), or where the EA, Internal Drainage Board or other body have indicated that there may be drainage problems. This should identify and assess the risks of all forms of flooding to and from the project and demonstrate how these flood risks will be managed, taking climate change into account.'	Section 5.7	Impacts on flood risk are set out in sections 20.5.5 and 20.7 , and Appendix 20.1 .
'Where the project is likely to have effects on the water environment, the applicant should undertake an assessment of the existing status of, and impacts of the proposed project on, water quality, water resources and physical characteristics of the water environment as part of the ES or equivalent.	Section 5.15	Impacts on surface and groundwater resources are set out in sections 20.5.5 and 20.7 . Impacts under the WFD are assessed in
The ES should in particular describe:		Appendix 20.2.
The existing quality of waters affected by the proposed project and the impacts of the proposed project on water quality, noting any relevant existing discharges, proposed new discharges and proposed changes to discharges;		
Existing water resources affected by the proposed project and the impacts of the proposed project on water resources, noting any relevant existing abstraction rates, proposed new abstraction rates and proposed changes to abstraction rates (including any impact on or use of mains supplies and reference to Catchment Abstraction Management Strategies);		
Existing physical characteristics of the water environment (including quantity and dynamics of flow) affected by the proposed project and any impact of physical modifications to these characteristics; and		
Any impacts of the proposed project on water bodies or protected areas under the Water Framework Directive and source protection zones (SPZs) around potable groundwater abstractions.'		

20.4.1.2.4 National Planning Policy Framework (2018) and Supporting Guidance

23. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out the UK Government planning policies for England. The NPPF seeks to ensure that flood risk is

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



considered at all stages in the planning and development process, to avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding and to direct development away from areas at risk of flooding.

- 24. The National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change supports the NPPF with additional guidance on flood risk vulnerability classifications and managing residual risks. The NPPG makes use of the concepts of Flood Zones, Vulnerability Classifications and Compatibility in order to assess the suitability of a specific site for a certain type of development.
- 25. The NPPF directs development away from areas at highest risk of flooding via the application of the Sequential Test. If, following application of the Sequential Test, it is not possible for the project to be located in zones with a lower probability of flooding; the Exception Test can be applied if appropriate. Additional information on the requirements of the NPPF are provided in *Appendix 20.1*.

20.4.1.2.5 Flood and Water Management Act 2010

26. The Flood and Water Management Act (FWMA) was passed in 2010. It aims to improve both flood risk management and the way we manage our water resources by creating clearer roles and responsibilities. This includes a lead role for local authorities in managing local flood risk (from surface water, ground water and ordinary watercourses) and a strategic overview role of all flood risk for the Environment Agency. The FWMA provides opportunities for a comprehensive, risk-based approach on land use planning and flood risk management by local authorities and other key partners.

20.4.1.3 Legislation and Policy (Regional)

20.4.1.3.1 Anglian River Basin District: River Basin Management Plan (2015)

- 27. The River Basin Management Plan (RBMP) is a strategic document that sets out the objectives that have been set for implementation of the WFD at a regional (River Basin District (RBD)) level. The purpose of a RBMP is to provide a framework for protecting and enhancing the benefits provided by the water environment. To achieve this, and because water and land resources are closely linked, it also informs decisions on land-use planning.
- 28. The second RBMP for the Anglian RBD was finalised by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) and the Environment Agency in December 2015 and published in February 2016. This document sets out the current state of the water environment according to WFD parameters, pressures affecting the water environment, environmental objectives for protecting and improving the waters, programme of measures to improve the water environment and deliver WFD objectives, actions needed to achieve the objectives, progress

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



since the 2009 RBMP, and also informs decisions on land-use planning because water and land resources are closely linked.

20.4.1.3.2Preliminary and Strategic Flood Risk Assessments

- 29. The onshore cable corridor is located within the authority area of Suffolk County Council, and two key district councils:
 - Suffolk Coastal District Council; and
 - Waveney District Council.
- 30. A Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment (PFRA) for the county was produced by Suffolk County Council in June 2011 (Suffolk County Council 2011). It was subsequently updated in December 2017 (Suffolk County Council 2017). The PFRA is then used to inform the Local Flood Risk Management Strategy and provides a high-level overview of the potential risk of flooding from local sources and identifies areas at risk of significant flooding.

20.4.1.3.3Local Flood Risk Management Strategy

- 31. Suffolk County Council produced the Suffolk Local Flood Risk Management Strategy (LFRMS) in March 2016 (Suffolk County Council 2016), updated from their first LFRMS published in February 2013, which outlines the aims and objectives of the Council as the LLFA and provides policies based on these aims.
- 32. The production of the LFRMS was overseen by the Suffolk Flood Risk Management Partnership which includes Suffolk County Council as well as District Councils, Internal Drainage Boards, Highways England and other key organisations.
- 33. The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 defines Critical Drainage Areas (CDAs) as 'an area within Flood Zone 1 which has critical drainage problems'. Considerations of CDAs are necessary to inform key flood risk priorities. The LFRMS indicates that local authorities should identify CDAs within their Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA). The Level 1 SFRA indicated that Suffolk Coastal District Council and Waveney District Council currently have no defined CDAs.

20.4.1.4 Local Planning Policy

- 34. EN-1 states that the Planning Inspectorate will also consider Development Plan Documents or other documents in the Local Development Framework to be relevant to its decision making.
- 35. Suffolk County Council's Nature Strategy (SCC 2015) includes some policies that are relevant to the project which are included in *Table 20.6*.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 36. The proposed onshore development area falls under the jurisdiction of Suffolk County Council and under Suffolk Coastal District Council (SCDC) local planning authority (LPA). SCDC are in the process of merging with Waveney District Council (WDC) into an East Suffolk Council (ESC) to take effect from 1st April 2019. At the time of writing the councils have not yet merged, therefore to ensure a robust assessment, the local plan for WDC has been considered also.
- 37. SCDC is reviewing their current Local Plan, a First Draft Local Plan has been published for public consultation (period of consultation from 20th July to 14th September 2018) (SCDC 2018). This plan sets out strategic planning policies within East Suffolk and how the local planning authorities address the NPPF on a local basis. *Table 20.6* details Objectives, Strategic Policies and Development Management Policies that are relevant to water resources and flood risk.

Table 20.6 Relevant Local Planning Policies

Document	Policy	Policy/Guidance Purpose					
Suffolk County Council							
Suffolk County Council Nature Strategy (2015)	Water management and water resources – Recommendation 20	Where possible, SuDS (both urban and rural) should be designed to maximise wildlife and landscape potential.					
Suffolk Coastal Distric	t Council						
Core Strategy and Development Management Policy	Development Management Policy DM28 -Flood Risk	Proposals for new development, or the intensification of existing development, will not be permitted in areas at high risk from flooding, i.e. Flood Zones 2 and 3, unless the applicant has satisfied the safety requirements in the Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework (and any successor). These include the 'sequential test'; where needed the 'exception test' and also a site specific flood risk assessment that addresses the characteristics of flooding and has tested an appropriate range of flood event scenarios.					
Suffolk Coastal District Council (2018) First draft Local Plan	Policy SCLP9.5 – Flood Risk	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment should be the starting point in assessing whether a proposal is at risk from flooding. Supports development or intensification of existing development that can: • Demonstrate the three main principles of flood risk: safe, resilient and should not increase flood risk elsewhere; and					



Document	Policy	Policy/Guidance Purpose
		 Include natural flood management measures that complement existing flood defences where already in place.
		Proposals for new development will not be supported in areas at high risk of flooding unless they satisfy the safety requirements in the Flood Risk National Planning Policy Guidance. These include:
		The 'sequential test';
		The 'exception test' where needed; and
		 A site specific flood risk assessment that addresses the characteristics of flooding and has tested an appropriate range of flood event scenarios (taking climate change into consideration).
	Policy SCLP9.6 – Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems	Developments should use sustainable drainage systems to drain surface water, where possible. These should be integrated into the landscaping scheme, not detract from the design quality of the scheme and deliver water quality and aquatic biodiversity improvements.
		Runoff should be restricted to greenfield runoff rates where possible.
		No surface water connections should be made to the foul system and connections to the combined or surface water system should only be made in exceptional circumstances where there are no feasible alternatives.
	Policy SCLP10.1 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity	Support a development strategy that maintains, restores or enhances existing green infrastructure network and positively contributes towards biodiversity and/or geodiversity through creation of new green infrastructure and improvement to linkages between habitats.
		Any development with the potential to impact on a Special Protection Area or Special Area for Conservation within or outside of the District will need to be supported by information to inform a Habitat Regulations Assessment.

20.4.1.5 Assessment Guidance

38. The assessment methodology used in this chapter follows the methodology set out in *Chapter 5 EIA Methodology*. There is no specific assessment guidance to reference in relation to this topic.





39. Unique assessment approaches are taken for the WFD and FRA, please refer to *Appendix 20.1* and *Appendix 20.2*.

20.4.2 Data Sources

40. The data sources that have been used to inform the water resources and flood risk baseline are listed in *Table 20.7*.

Table 20.7 Data Sources Features

Data	Year	Coverage	Confidence
Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning	2017	Nationwide	High
Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water	2017	Nationwide	High
Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea	2017	Nationwide	High
Environment Agency's Catchment Data Explorer for WFD River Basin Districts Management Catchments, Operational Catchments and WFD water bodies	2017	Nationwide	High
Environment Agency fisheries survey data	2017	Local	High
Environment Agency Product 4 Detailed Flood Risk Assessment Map for Knodishall and Thorpeness	2017	Local	High
Environment Agency groundwater and surface water abstractions data	2018	Local	High
Environment Agency priority species data	2018	Local	High
Suffolk County Council River and Sea Flood Risk and Incident Map	2018	Local	High
Suffolk County Council Surface Water Flood Risk and Incident Map	2018	Local	High

20.4.3 Impact Assessment Methodology

20.4.3.1 Overview

41. **Chapter 5 EIA Methodology** provides a summary of the general impact assessment method, and the following sections describe the methodology used to assess the potential impacts of the project on water resources and flood risk in more detail. More detailed methodologies for the FRA and WFD compliance assessment can be found in **Appendix 20.1** and **Appendix 20.2**, respectively.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 42. Two key groups of impacts have been identified for the purpose of defining impact significance:
 - Water resources: these are potential effects on the physical (including hydrology and geomorphology), biological or chemical character of surface waters or groundwater, potentially impacting on secondary receptors such as wetlands or abstractions, and WFD water body status; and
 - Flood risk: these are the potential impacts of the project on site drainage, conveyance and surface water flooding.
- 43. Whilst there are clear links between the two impact groups, the assessment of receptor sensitivity and the magnitude of effect may differ.

20.4.3.2 Sensitivity

44. Receptor sensitivity has been defined with reference to the adaptability, tolerance, recoverability and value of individual receptors. *Table 20.8* provides the criteria for appraisal of the value and sensitivity for identified water resources and flood risk receptors based on professional judgement.

Table 20.8 Definitions of the Different Sensitivity Levels for Water Resources and Flood Risk Receptors

Sensitivity	Definition
High	Receptor has very limited capacity to tolerate changes to hydrology, geomorphology, and water quality or flood risk.
	Water resources
	Controlled waters with an unmodified, naturally diverse hydrological regime, a naturally diverse geomorphology with no barriers to the operation of natural processes, and good water quality.
	Supports habitats or species that are highly sensitive to changes in surface hydrology, geomorphology or water quality.
	Supports Principal Aquifer with public water supply abstractions by provision of recharge.
	Site is within Inner or Outer Source Protection Zones.
	Flood risk
	Highly Vulnerable Land Use, as defined by NPPF PPG (Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) 2015).
	Land with more than 100 residential properties (after Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) 2009).
Medium	Receptor has limited capacity to tolerate changes to hydrology, geomorphology, and water quality or flood risk.



Sensitivity	Definition					
	Water resources					
	Controlled waters with hydrology that sustains natural variations, geomorphology that sustains natural processes, and water quality that is not contaminated to the extent that habitat quality is constrained.					
	Supports or contributes to habitats or species that are sensitive to changes in surface hydrology, geomorphology and/or water quality. Supports Secondary A or Secondary B Aquifer with water supply abstractions.					
	Site is within a Catchment Source Protection Zone.					
	Flood risk					
	More Vulnerable Land Use, as defined by NPPF PPG (DCLG 2015).					
	Land with between 1 and 100 residential properties or more than 10 industrial premises (after DMRB 2009).					
Low	Receptor has moderate capacity to tolerate changes to hydrology, geomorphology, and water quality or flood risk.					
	Water resources					
	Controlled waters with hydrology that supports limited natural variations, geomorphology that supports limited natural processes and water quality that may constrain some ecological communities.					
	Supports or contributes to habitats that are not sensitive to changes in surface hydrology, geomorphology or water quality.					
	Supports Secondary A or Secondary B Aquifer without abstractions.					
	Flood risk					
	Less Vulnerable Land Use, as defined by NPPF PPG (DCLG 2015).					
	Land with 10 or fewer industrial properties (after DMRB 2009).					
Negligible	Receptor is generally tolerant of changes to hydrology, geomorphology, and water quality or flood risk.					
	Water resources					
	Controlled waters with hydrology that does not support natural variations, geomorphology that does not support natural processes and water quality that constrains ecological communities.					
	Aquatic or water-dependent habitats and/or species are tolerant to changes in hydrology, geomorphology or water quality.					
	Non-productive strata that does not support groundwater resources.					
	Flood risk					
	Water Compatible Land Use, as defined by NPPF PPG (DCLG 2015).					
	Land with limited constraints and a low probability of flooding of residential and industrial properties (after DMRB 2009).					



20.4.3.3 Value

45. It should be noted that high value and high sensitivity are not necessarily linked with respect to a particular impact. A receptor could be of high value but have a low sensitivity to an effect. It is therefore important not to inflate the significance of an impact due to the value of the receptor. Instead, the value can be used as a modifier for the sensitivity assigned to the receptor. Definitions for the value of surface waters are provided in *Table 20.9*.

Table 20.9 Definitions of the Value Levels for Water Resources and Flood Risk Receptors

Value	Definition			
High	Receptor is an internationally or nationally important resource with limited potential for offsetting / compensation.			
	Water resources			
	Supports or contributes to designated habitats or species of international or national importance (e.g. Special Area of Conservation (SAC), Special Protection Area (SPA), and Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)).			
	Licensed potable abstractions (surface water and groundwater).			
	Flood risk			
	Nationally significant infrastructure.			
	Internationally or nationally designated planning policy areas.			
Medium	Receptor is a regionally important resource with limited potential for offsetting / compensation.			
	Water resources			
	Supports or contributes to habitats or species of UK regional value (Site of Nature Conservation Interest (SNCI), Regionally Important Geological Site (RIGS)).			
	Licensed non-potable abstractions and unlicensed potable abstractions (surface water and groundwater).			
	Flood risk			
	"Locally significant infrastructure".			
	Local planning policy designated sites.			
Low	Receptor is a locally important resource.			
	Water resources			
	Supports or contributes to habitats or species of local value (e.g. Local Nature Reserve (LNR)).			
	Unlicensed non-potable abstractions (surface water and groundwater).			
	Flood risk			
	Drainage that does not discharge to Critical Drainage Areas.			
Negligible	Receptor is not considered to be an important resource.			



Value	Definition
	Water resources
	Does not support or contribute to habitats or species of particular importance.
	No abstractions (surface water and groundwater).
	Flood risk
	No significant infrastructure.

20.4.3.4 Magnitude

46. Receptor magnitude has been defined with reference to the spatial extent, duration, frequency and severity of the effect. Impact magnitude is defined in *Table 20.10*.

Table 20.10 Definitions of the Magnitude Levels for Water Resources and Flood Risk Receptors

Value	Definition
High	Fundamental, permanent / irreversible changes, over the whole receptor, and / or fundamental alteration to key characteristics or features of the particular receptors character or distinctiveness.
	Water resources
	Permanent changes to geomorphology and/or hydrology that prevent natural processes operating.
	Permanent and/or wide scale effects on water quality or availability.
	Permanent loss or long-term (>5 years) degradation of a water supply source resulting in prosecution.
	Permanent or wide scale degradation of habitat quality.
	Flood risk
	Permanent or major change to existing flood risk.
	Reduction in on-site flood risk by raising ground level in conjunction with provision of compensation storage.
	Increase in off-site flood risk due to raising ground levels without provision of compensation storage.
	Failure to meet either sequential or exception test (if applicable).
Medium	Considerable, permanent / irreversible changes, over the majority of the receptor, and / or discernible alteration to key characteristics or features of the particular receptors character or distinctiveness.
	Water resources
	Medium-term (1-5 years) effects on water quality or availability.
	Medium-term (1-5 years) degradation of a water supply source, possibly resulting in prosecution.



Value	Definition					
	Habitat change over the medium-term (1-5 years).					
	Flood risk					
	Medium-term (1-5 years) or moderate change to existing flood risk.					
	Possible failure of sequential or exception test (if applicable).					
	Reduction in off-site flood risk within the local area due to the provision of a managed drainage system.					
Low	Discernible, temporary (throughout project duration) change, over a minority of the receptor, and / or limited but discernible alteration to key characteristics or features of the particular receptors character or distinctiveness.					
	Water resources					
	Short-term (<1 year) or local effects on water quality or availability.					
	Short-term (<1 year) degradation of a water supply source.					
	Habitat change over the short-term.					
	Flood risk					
	Short-term (<1 year), temporary or minor change to existing flood risk.					
	Localised increase in on-site or off-site flood risk due to increase in impermeable area.					
	Passing of sequential and exception test.					
Negligible	Discernible, temporary (for part of the project duration) change, or barely discernible change for any length of time, over a small area of the receptor, and/or slight alteration to key characteristics or features of the particular receptors character or distinctiveness.					
	Water resources					
	Intermittent impact on local water quality or availability.					
	Intermittent or no degradation of a water supply source.					
	Very slight local changes to habitat that have no observable impact on dependent receptors.					
	Flood risk					
	Intermittent or very minor change to existing flood risk.					
	Highly localised increase in on-site or off-site flood risk due to increase in impermeable area.					

20.4.3.5 Impact Significance

47. The potential significance of an impact is a function of the sensitivity and value of the receptor and the magnitude of the effect (noting that value and sensitivity are not necessarily linked, as detailed in **section 20.4.3.3**).

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 48. The significance is derived using an impact significance matrix, as shown in *Table 20.11*. Definitions of each level of significance are provided in *Table 20.12*.
- 49. Assessment of impact significance is qualitative and reliant on professional experience, interpretation and judgement. The matrix should therefore be viewed as a framework to aid understanding of how a judgement has been reached, rather than as a prescriptive, formulaic tool. Note that impacts may be adverse or beneficial.
- 50. Effects that result in major or moderate impacts are considered to be 'significant' in EIA terms. Adverse significant impacts may require mitigation; beneficial significant impacts could contribute to the case in favour of the project.

Table 20.11 Impact Significance Matrix

		Negative Magnitude				Beneficial Magnitude			
		High	Medium	Low	Negligible	Negligible	Low	Medium	High
	High	Major	Major	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major
Sensitivity	Medium	Major	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Major
Sens	Low	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Moderate
	Negligible	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Minor

Table 20.12 Impact Significance Definitions

Significance	Definition
Major	Very large or large change in receptor condition, both adverse or beneficial, which are likely to be important considerations at a regional or district level because they contribute to achieving national, regional or local objectives, or, could result in exceedance of statutory objectives and / or breaches of legislation.
Moderate	Intermediate change in receptor condition, which are likely to be important considerations at a local level.
Minor	Small change in receptor condition, which may be raised as local issues but are unlikely to be important in the decision making process.
Negligible	No discernible change in receptor condition.
No change	No impact, therefore no change in receptor condition.

51. Following initial assessment, if the impact does not require additional mitigation (or none is possible) the residual impact will remain the same. If however,



additional mitigation is proposed there will be an assessment of the postmitigation residual impact.

20.4.4 Cumulative Impact Assessment

- 52. The proposed East Anglia TWO project Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) will initially consider the cumulative impact with only the East Anglia ONE North project against two different construction scenarios (i.e. construction of the two projects concurrently and sequentially). The worst case scenario of each impact is then carried through to the full CIA which considers other developments which are in close proximity to the proposed East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North projects.
- 53. For a general introduction to the methodology used for the CIA please refer to *Chapter 5 EIA Methodology.*
- 54. The results of the CIA are presented in *Appendix 20.4* and *section 20.7*.

20.4.5 Transboundary Impact Assessment

55. There are no transboundary impacts with regards to water resources and flood risk as the proposed onshore development area is not sited in proximity to any international boundaries. Transboundary impacts are therefore scoped out of this assessment and will not be considered further.

20.5 Existing Environment

20.5.1 Surface Water

20.5.1.1 Surface Water Drainage

- 56. The onshore elements of the proposed East Anglia TWO project are located within the catchments of three surface watercourses that are designated by the Environment Agency as main rivers for part of their course (*Figure 20.1*):
 - The Hundred River, which has a catchment area of approximately 26km². The river rises near East Green, from where it flows south towards Knodishall and Coldfair Green. From here, it flows in a south-easterly direction towards the coast. The river flows to the south of The Meare at Thorpeness (to which it is connected via a sluice), from where it flows southwards along the landward edge of the coastal dune system until it discharges to the sea via a sluice to the south of The Haven. The Hundred River catchment would contain the majority of the proposed onshore development area, including the onshore substations and the majority of the onshore cable corridor.
 - Leiston Beck, which has a catchment area of approximately 16km². The beck rises near Leiston Abbey, from where it flows in an easterly direction through Sizewell Belts and Marshes. It then flows in an artificial channel along the coast in a northerly direction until it discharges into the sea alongside the

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



Minsmere River (the neighbouring catchment to the north) at Minsmere Sluice. The southern part of the Leiston Beck catchment, to the east of Leiston and south west of Sizewell, would contain a short section of the onshore cable corridor.

- The Friston Watercourse, which has catchment area of approximately 6km², rises near the village of Friston from where it flows southwards towards Firs Farm. From here, it flows eastwards to the north of Black Heath Wood before turning southwards into the Alde Estuary. The tidal reach of the river is known as Ham Creek. The East Anglia TWO onshore substation and National Grid substation locations near Friston are located within the catchment of the Friston Watercourse.
- 57. In addition, the landfall and a small part of the eastern end of the onshore cable corridor are located in an area of the coastal fringe which drains eastwards into the sea rather than south or westwards into the Hundred River catchment. Even though there are no permanent surface drainage features in this area, this receptor has been included in the assessment for completeness.
- 58. Parts of the study area are located within the East Suffolk Internal Drainage Board's (IDB) Thorpeness Hundred River and Minsmere catchment boundaries (East Suffolk IDB 2016). However, there are no main IDB drains within the proposed onshore development area.

20.5.1.2 Geomorphology

- 59. The Hundred River, Leiston Beck and the Friston Watercourse are typical of lowland, low energy drainage systems that have been extensively modified in the past (potentially to facilitate drainage of surrounding wet floodplain habitats so that they can be used for agriculture).
- 60. The Hundred River has a naturally gently meandering planform, although there is considerable evidence of localised straightening. As a result of these modifications, the watercourse typically has a uniform trapezoidal channel with steep to near vertical banks. The banks are typically shallow, stable and well vegetated, although there is evidence of toe scour in parts of the catchment. Considerable areas of in-channel vegetation growth are also apparent. The channels are largely dominated by depositional processes, reflecting the low energy of the system, with natural silt beds and evidence of considerable fine sedimentation along the channel margins. Flows are typically low, and the upper reaches of the watercourse (upstream of the proposed cable crossing) were dry at the time of the walkover survey. Water levels are much deeper in the lower reaches of the river, which is likely to reflect the impounding and tide-locking influence of the sluice through which the river enters the sea.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 61. The Friston Watercourse is largely composed of a uniform, straightened (or entirely artificial) drainage channel, bounded by a network of smaller drains which in places reflect the historical meandering course of the river. The river connects into a highly sinuous tidal creek (Ham Creek) below Mean High Water, which itself drains into the Alde Estuary. The upper estuary contains a moderately sinuous channel at Mean Low Water, bounded on both banks by extensive mudflats. These become much narrower in the lower estuary (the River Ore), which is much more constrained.
- 62. The Leiston Beck has also been extensively modified, and therefore has very similar geomorphological characteristics to the Hundred River and Friston Watercourse. The largely straightened watercourse has very little geomorphological diversity, a uniform trapezoidal channel with shallow, near vertical banks, and very low energy flows. The bed and banks are largely composed of fine grained materials (e.g. silts), and depositional processes are dominant.
- 63. A more detailed summary of the geomorphology of the surface watercourses is provided in *Appendix 20.3*.

20.5.1.3 Water Quality

- 64. Data presented on the Environment Agency's Catchment Data Explorer indicate that water quality in both the Hundred River and Leiston Beck is relatively poor, with low concentrations of dissolved oxygen and elevated concentrations of phosphates (Environment Agency 2016). High levels of phosphates are attributed by the Environment Agency (2016) to the input of treated waste water effluent into the watercourse, while low levels of dissolved oxygen are attributed to naturally low flows. However, no other contaminants that are monitored under the WFD are noted in the data.
- 65. There are no water quality data for the Friston Watercourse. However, water quality in the Alde Estuary into which it drains is reported to be generally good, with low concentrations of the majority of potential contaminants that are monitored under the WFD. However, elevated concentrations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen are observed, which is likely to reflect agricultural runoff and the discharge of treated sewage effluent (Environment Agency 2016).

20.5.1.4 Flood Risk

66. Environment Agency flood zone maps (Environment Agency 2012) indicate that the majority of the proposed onshore development area is located within an area of low flood risk (Flood Zone 1) (*Figure 20.2*). Flood Zone 1 is defined as land which has a less than 1 in 1000 annual probability of river flooding (<0.1%). However, any onshore infrastructure located closer to the Hundred River (i.e. along

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



the eastern boundary of the proposed onshore development area between School Road and Knodishall Common) has a higher risk of flooding (up to Flood Zone 3; land with a high risk of flooding). There are no formal flood defences (including coastal flood defences) within the proposed onshore development area, although there are coastal defences along the Alde estuary.

67. A more detailed description of the baseline flood risk in the proposed onshore development area is provided in the FRA (*Appendix 20.1*).

20.5.2 Groundwater

- 68. The proposed onshore development area is underlain by a Principal Aquifer in the Chalk bedrock (*Figure 20.3*). Parts of the area are also underlain by Secondary (A, B and undifferentiated) aquifers in the superficial Crag deposits. The Environment Agency's groundwater vulnerability map indicates that the area overlies a minor aquifer with high vulnerability.
- 69. Regionally, the principal groundwater body underlying the proposed onshore development area is the Waveney and East Suffolk Chalk and Crag. WFD classification data (Environment Agency 2016) demonstrates that groundwater is under pressure from abstractions of groundwater and connected surface waters for arable agricultural uses, and from diffuse source pollution from livestock farming. However, saline intrusion is not considered to be an issue and adverse effects on groundwater-dependent terrestrial ecosystems and surface water bodies are not reported.
- 70. There are two groundwater Source Protection Zone (SPZs) located to the west of Leiston and Aldringham (*Figure 20.3*). The Inner Protection Zones (Zone I) are both located outside the proposed onshore development area, but the southern Outer Protection Zone (Zone II) is located beneath the landward end of the onshore cable corridor. These zones are associated with groundwater and abstraction for public water supply, and therefore suggest that groundwater in this area is likely to be sensitive to change.
- 71. Data from the Environment Agency identifies three abstraction licences within the study area. There are two groundwater abstractions and a surface water abstraction, all of which are for agricultural use (irrigation).

20.5.3 Designated Sites

- 72. The lower reaches of the Hundred River flow through the Leiston Aldeburgh Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and Sandlings Special Protection Area (SPA):
 - The Leiston Aldeburgh SSSI is designated due to the acid grassland, heath, scrub, woodland, fen, open water and vegetated shingle habitats that it

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



supports (English Nature 1999). The mosaic of terrestrial habitats and water bodies provides suitable breeding and feeding habitat for a variety of species of dragonfly and damselfly, including the nationally scarce hairy dragonfly *Brachytron pratense* (Natural England 1999). Several SSSI units are of particular relevance from a water resources perspective, with the Hundred River flowing through or immediately adjacent to the following units:

- Unit 10: Fen, marsh and swamp. Referred to as "The Fens" on Ordnance Survey mapping, this is an area of wetland that is dominated by common reed *Phragmites australis*.
- Unit 11: Standing open water and canals. Thorpeness Mere (also called "The Meare") is a shallow eutrophic water body underlain by a peat substrate, which is connected to other wetland habitats such as carr woodland, swamp and fen meadows.
- Unit 12: Broadleaved, mixed and yew woodland. An area of wet woodland bisected by the river and connected drainage channels.
- Unit 14: Neutral grassland. This area, known as Church Farm Marshes, consists of grassland bisected by a network of largely trapezoidal drainage ditches. Water quality is reported to be good, and the channels support a diverse plant community (Natural England 2010).
- 73. The Sandlings SPA is designated due to the breeding populations of nightjar and woodlark that are supported by the habitats listed for the SSSI above (JNCC 2001a).
- 74. Leiston Beck flows through parts of the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, Minsmere Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI and SAC, and Minsmere Walberswick SPA:
 - Sizewell Marshes SSSI comprises unimproved wet meadows, areas of wet woodland, reed bed and an extensive ditch system, which collectively support important assemblages of invertebrates and rare vascular plants (as well as some of the water bird interest features of the Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SPA and Ramsar site, e.g. marsh harriers and bittern) (Natural England 2018a). The citation for the site itself identifies an important breeding bird assemblage characteristic of wet grassland and associated habitats. However, the site's breeding bird assemblage has declined, in line with a national decline, and species such as snipe and lapwing are no longer present.
 - Minsmere Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI supports a diverse series
 of habitat types, most notably mudflats, shingle beach, reed beds, heathland
 and grazing marsh (Natural England 2018b). These habitats provide

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- sheltered feeding grounds for wildfowl and shorebirds, and also support important flora and invertebrate assemblages.
- Parts of the SSSI have also been designated as a SAC because they support several Annex 1 habitats, including annual vegetation of drift lines and European dry heaths (JNCC undated). Perennial vegetation of stony banks is also listed as a qualifying feature.
- Minsmere Walberswick SPA supports breeding populations of avocet, bittern, little tern, marsh harrier, nightjar and woodlark, and over-wintering populations of avocet, bittern and hen harrier that are of European importance (JNCC 2001b). In addition, the SPA also supports an important assemblage of breeding and wintering wildfowl, including gadwall, teal, shoveler and whitefronted geese.
- The Friston Watercourse is not designated, but it drains directly into the Alde Estuary. This is designated as part of the Alde – Ore Estuary SSSI and SPA and Alde – Ore and Butley Estuaries SAC, on account of the geomorphological features and the diverse range of habitats and species that they support. (Natural England 2018c). These are located outside the proposed onshore development area.
- 75. More information on the designated sites is provided in *Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology.*

20.5.4 Sensitivity and Value of Receptors

- 76. As described in **section 20.5.1**, there are three main surface water drainage catchments in the proposed onshore development area. The value and sensitivity of each of these receptors has been set at a catchment level and applied to all watercourses within that catchment (**Table 20.13**). Any parts of the surface drainage network that are not included in Ordnance Survey datasets are therefore considered to be part of the nearest downstream watercourse. The sensitivity of each surface water receptor has been defined based on the geomorphological (i.e. physical habitat), hydrological and water quality characteristics described in **section 20.5.1**. The value has been defined with reference to the ecological value of the receptors and any connected habitats, including the presence of designated sites (**section 20.5.3**).
- 77. As described in **section 20.5.2**, the proposed onshore development area is underlain by a single body of groundwater. The value of this receptor has been defined on the basis of recorded water quality and the use of the watercourse, and is defined in **Table 20.13**.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



Table 20.13 Sensitivity and Value of Receptors

Receptor	Sensitivity	Justification of sensitivity	Value	Justification of value
Coastal fringe	Negligible	There are no permanent surface drainage features in this area.	Negligible	There are no permanent surface drainage features in this area.
Hundred River	Low	Extensively modified low-energy watercourse with limited geomorphological diversity. Generally good water quality, but impaired by low concentrations of dissolved oxygen and high concentrations of phosphates.	High	Supports ten-spined stickleback <i>Pungitius pungitius</i> and three-spined stickleback <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i> , which are not priority species. No priority plants or invertebrates have been recorded. However, the watercourse is hydrologically connected to water-dependent habitats within the Leiston – Aldeburgh SSSI and Sandlings SPA.
Leiston Beck	Low	Extensively modified low-energy watercourse with limited geomorphological diversity. Generally good water quality, but impaired by low concentrations of dissolved oxygen and high concentrations of phosphates.	High	No priority plants, invertebrates or fish have been recorded. However, the watercourse is hydrologically connected to water-dependent habitats within the Sizewell Marshes SSSI, Minsmere – Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI and SAC, and Minsmere – Walberswick SPA.
Friston Watercourse	Low	Extensively modified fresh watercourse with limited geomorphological diversity, draining into a tidal creek and estuary with extensive mudflats. Generally good water quality, but impaired by high concentrations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen.	High	No priority plants, invertebrates or fish have been recorded. However, the watercourse is hydrologically connected to water-dependent habitats within the Alde – Ore Estuary SSSI and SPA and Alde – Ore and Butley Estuaries SAC.
Groundwater	High	Groundwater quantity under pressure from abstractions. Groundwater quality under pressure from diffuse source pollution from pastoral agriculture.	High	Designated as a Principal Aquifer with high vulnerability. SPZII underlies the western end of the proposed onshore development area. Close to SPZI.





20.5.5 Anticipated Trends in the Baseline Condition

20.5.5.1 Surface Waters

- 78. The baseline review presented in **section 20.5.1** demonstrates that, although surface watercourses in the proposed onshore development area support high quality natural habitats, the geomorphology of many surface watercourses has been modified as a result of land drainage and flood risk management pressures. This section also demonstrates that surface water quality across the proposed onshore development area is predominantly good, although several watercourses are adversely affected by the supply of phosphate fertilisers and sewage effluent.
- 79. Predicted climate changes are likely to result in wetter winters, drier summers and a greater number of convectional rain storms. This means that the hydrology of the surface drainage network could change, with higher winter flows, lower summer flows and a greater number of storm-related flood flows. This in turn could result in changes to the geomorphology of the river systems, with increased geomorphological activity (e.g. channel adjustment) occurring in response to storm events. This means that the surface drainage network is unlikely to remain stable and is likely to become more typical of the natural river types in the future.
- 80. The risk of flooding will be amplified as a result of the predicted increase in rainfall associated with climate change, with an increase in peak river flows and an increase in the magnitude of surface water flooding. Additional information on climate-related impacts on flood risk is provided in *Appendix 20.1*.

20.5.5.2 Groundwater

- 81. Groundwater quality is affected by the combined pressures of intensive land use and highly permeable soils, which have resulted in substantial leaching of nitrate to groundwater. However, increased regulation of agricultural chemicals and catchment-wide initiatives to reduce pressures on groundwater to achieve compliance with the WFD suggest that baseline groundwater quality is likely to improve in the future. However, any improvements are likely to become apparent only over long timescales.
- 82. As part of Defra's Water Abstraction Plan (2017), the Environment Agency will review and amend all existing abstraction licenses by 2027. It is anticipated that abstraction will decrease and approximately 90% of surface water bodies and 77% of groundwater bodies will meet the required standards by 2021. Pressures on groundwater levels are therefore likely to decrease in the future.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



20.6 Potential Impacts

20.6.1 Potential Impacts during Construction

- 83. Four potential impacts on water resources and flood risk receptors resulting from the construction stage have been identified. These are:
 - Direct disturbance of surface water bodies;
 - Increased sediment supply;
 - Accidental release of contaminants; and
 - Changes to surface water runoff and flood risk.
- 84. These impacts are discussed in detail in the subsequent subsections.

20.6.1.1 Impact 1: Direct Disturbance of Surface Water Bodies

20.6.1.1.1 Impacts Prior To Mitigation

- 85. The onshore cable route will need to cross the Hundred River, and therefore has the potential to directly alter the geomorphology, hydrology and physical habitat value of the watercourse. The watercourse would be crossed using a trenched technique, whereby temporary dams (composed of sand bags, straw bales and ditching clay, or another suitable technique) would be installed upstream and downstream of the crossing point. The cable trench would then be excavated in the area of dry river bed between the dams, with river flow maintained through the use of a temporary pump or flume.
- 86. The installation of the cable trench will directly disturb the bed and banks of the watercourse, and could potentially result in the direct loss of natural geomorphological features (and associated physical habitat niches) and geomorphological instability (e.g. due to enhanced scour and increased sediment supply). However, this would be a temporary impact provided that the bed and banks are reinstated to their original level, position, planform and profile.
- 87. The presence of temporary dams could potentially result in reduced flow and sediment conveyance (particularly of coarse sediment), create upstream impoundment, affect patterns of erosion and sedimentation, impede river continuity, increase turbidity and potentially encourage fine sedimentation on the bed upstream. Changes to flow conditions could also result in a reduction in the dissolved oxygen concentrations supported in the watercourses upstream of the impoundment. These activities could therefore reduce the physical habitat value of the watercourse for aquatic plants, invertebrates and fish species. The temporary dams could also act as a barrier to the movement of fish and other aquatic organisms. However, these impacts are considered to be temporary (i.e. confined to the duration of construction) and would be reversed once the

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



temporary impounding structures were removed (i.e. as a result of natural bed scour and sediment transport processes, which would remobilise any accumulations of unconsolidated fine sediments once the normal flow regime has been reinstated).

- 88. In addition, a temporary bridge or culvert would be required to allow the haul road to cross the watercourse adjacent to the cable crossing point. The installation and removal of this temporary structure within the dewatered reach of the river would directly disturb the bed and banks of the watercourse and result in the direct loss of natural geomorphological features within the footprint of the structure. This impact would be reversible once the temporary structure has been removed and the bed and banks reinstated.
- 89. There will be no impact on the coastal fringe, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and groundwater receptors as there are no watercourse crossings or mechanisms for direct disturbance.
- 90. The impacts on each receptor resulting from direct disturbance are summarised in *Table 20.14*.





Table 20.14 Impacts Resulting from the Direct Disturbance of Surface Water Bodies

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	There will be no watercourse crossings within the contributing catchment.	No impact	-	-	-
Hundred River	Low	High	One trenched crossing over the main watercourse will be required. This will include temporary dams, and a temporary bridge or culvert. However, any changes are considered to be temporary and reversible once the temporary structures have been removed and the bed and banks have been reinstated.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	There will be no watercourse crossings within the Leiston Beck catchment.	No impact	-	-	-
Friston Watercourse	Low	High	There will be no watercourse crossings within the Friston Watercourse catchment.	No impact	-	-	-
Groundwater	High	High	There are no mechanisms for groundwater to be impacts by the direct disturbance of surface water bodies.	No impact	-	-	-

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



20.6.1.1.2Additional Mitigation Measures

- 91. The following additional measures would be applied to reduce the impacts associated with the trenched crossing of the Hundred River:
 - In order to ensure that there are no adverse impacts resulting from the installation of temporary dams, the Applicant will seek (in so far as practicable) to minimise the amount of time that temporary dams are in place, flumes or pumps would be adequately sized to maintain flows downstream of the obstruction whilst minimising upstream impoundment, and scour protection would be used to protect the bed downstream of the dam from higher energy flows at the outlet of the flumes or pumps. Furthermore, a fish rescue would be undertaken in the area between the temporary dams prior to dewatering;
 - The temporary bridge or culvert for the haul road would be adequately sized to avoid impounding flows. If a culvert is used, the invert level of the structure will be installed below the natural bed of the channel so that sediment transport and the movement of fish and aquatic invertebrates can be maintained;
 - Cable ducts would typically be installed 2m below the bed of the watercourse (sufficient to account for climate-related changes in fluvial flows and erosion).
 This would be dependent upon local geology and geomorphological risks (e.g. bed scour and channel instability) and avoid exposure during periods of higher energy flow where the bed could be mobilised;
 - Vegetation would not be removed from the banks unless necessary to undertake the works; any vegetation removal would be restricted to the smallest practicable footprint; and
 - Where possible, localised improvements to the geomorphology and inchannel habitats will be considered where the watercourse is crossed using open cut techniques. This will include sympathetic reinstatement of banks (e.g. by replacing re-sectioned banks with more natural profiles that are typical of the natural geomorphology of the watercourse). Note that any improvements would be restricted to within the working area of the proposed East Anglia TWO project.

20.6.1.1.3Impacts Following Mitigation

92. Following the implementation of these additional mitigation measures, the potential for impacts associated with the trenched crossing of the Hundred River would be reduced to a negligible magnitude. The residual impact resulting from the direct disturbance of surface water bodies would therefore be **minor adverse** (*Table 20.14*). There are no impacts on the coastal fringe, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and groundwater.



20.6.1.2 Impact 2: Increased Sediment Supply

20.6.1.2.1 Impacts Prior To Mitigation

- 93. Construction activities in the proposed onshore development area will involve earthworks and create areas of bare ground by removing surface vegetation cover. These construction activities could increase the potential for the erosion of soil particulates, resulting in an increase in the supply of fine sediment (e.g. clays, silts and fine sands) to surface watercourses through surface runoff and the erosion of exposed soils.
- 94. Increased sediment supply could affect the geomorphology of the watercourse by increasing turbidity in the water column and encouraging enhanced deposition of fine sediment on the bed of the channel. Furthermore, increased sediment loads could potentially smother existing bed habitats, reduce light penetration and reduce dissolved oxygen concentration, adversely affecting stream biota (e.g. macrophytes, aquatic invertebrates and fish) and adversely affecting the quality of in-channel habitats.
- 95. Site preparation, ground excavations and other construction activities which have the potential to increase sediment supply will take place across proposed onshore development area. The scale of the potential impact upon a sub-catchment is likely to be proportional to the area of each catchment that would be disturbed during construction. This has been calculated based on the worst case parameters set out in *Table 20.3*, including:
 - The area of onshore cable route and haul road within each catchment;
 - The number of jointing bays within each catchment;
 - The number of HDD compounds (including the landfall) within each catchment:
 - The number of consolidation sites within each catchment; and
 - The area of onshore substation and National Grid substation locations, construction compounds and access route within each catchment.
- 96. The results of these calculations are shown in *Table 20.15*.





Table 20.15 Estimated Maximum Area of Disturbed Ground in Each Water Receptor

Receptor	Estimated Total area of disturbed ground during construction				
	m²	%			
Coastal fringe	68,918	16.81			
Hundred River	303,549	1.17			
Leiston Drain	94,885	0.59			
Friston Watercourse	229,290	3.80			
Groundwater	696,642	0.05			

97. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO project will include a range of embedded mitigation measures to reduce the potential for an increase in the supply of fine sediment, including minimising the area of open ground at any one time by confining onshore cable installation activities to defined work fronts that will be operative for a short period only, storing and reinstating topsoil and using hardstanding in mobilisation areas (**section 20.3.3**). This means that the exposed working area which has the potential to supply sediment will be restricted in each catchment at any one time. The impacts on each receptor resulting from direct disturbance are summarised in **Table 20.16**.





Table 20.16 Impacts Resulting from Increased Sediment Supply

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	ed Sediment Supply Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	An area of approximately 68,918m² would be disturbed by construction activities. Although this accounts for approximately 16% of the parcel of land, there are no significant surface drainage features in the area and therefore no mechanism for impact on surface water receptors.	No impact	-	-	-
Hundred River	Low	High	An area of approximately 303,549m² would be disturbed by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 1.17% of the total surface drainage catchment. Although this is a small proportion of the total catchment, activities will take place within and adjacent to the watercourse. This means that there is a direct route for any sediment generated to easily enter the surface drainage system through surface runoff without natural attenuation. Furthermore, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise sediment generation from construction activities along the onshore cable route.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	An area of approximately 94,885m² would be disturbed by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 0.59% of the total surface drainage catchment. The small proportion of the catchment affected and the	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse





Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
			distance between the proposed onshore development area and the surface watercourses mean that any sediment generated is likely to be naturally intercepted before it can enter the surface drainage system. Furthermore, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise sediment generation from construction activities along the onshore cable route.				
Friston Watercourse	Low	High	An area of approximately 229,290m² would be disturbed by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 3.80% of the total surface drainage catchment. However, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise sediment generation from the onshore substation locations. Furthermore, construction activities will not be undertaken immediately adjacent to the watercourse.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Groundwater	High	High	There are no mechanisms for groundwater to be impacts by an increase in sediment supply to surface water bodies.	No impact	-	-	-

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



20.6.1.2.2Additional Mitigation Measures

- 98. In addition to the sediment management measures embedded into the design of the working activities (*Table 20.4*), the following mitigation measure will be put in place to prevent the release of sediment into surface watercourses:
 - Buffer strips of vegetation will be retained adjacent to the Hundred River and Friston Watercourse, where possible. Where surface vegetation has been removed, it will be reseeded to prevent future runoff (excluding arable crops).

20.6.1.2.3Impacts Following Mitigation

99. The additional mitigation measures will reduce sediment supply to watercourses from the working area and are an important and integral part of best practice construction methodology to help ensure that sediment supply is not increased. The magnitude of the impact is therefore predicted to reduce to negligible in the Hundred River and Friston Watercourse catchments; it would remain negligible in the Leiston Beck catchment. The residual impact resulting from the direct disturbance of surface water bodies would therefore be **minor adverse** in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck and Friston Watercourse (*Table 20.16*). There are no impacts on the coastal fringe or groundwater.

20.6.1.3 Impact 3: Accidental Release of Contaminants

20.6.1.3.1 Impacts Prior to Mitigation

- 100. There is the potential for the accidental release of lubricants, fuels and oils from construction machinery through spillage, leakage and in-wash from vehicle storage areas after rainfall and direct release from construction machinery working in and adjacent to surface watercourses. There is also the potential for accidental release of foul waters (from welfare facilities) and construction materials (including concrete and inert drilling fluids) into the surface waters and connected groundwaters during construction.
- 101. If a significant leakage or spillage occurs, there is the potential for adverse impacts upon water quality if contaminants enter the surface drainage network or percolate into groundwater. These water quality impacts have the potential to adversely affect ecology (particularly fish and macroinvertebrates; see *Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology*) if pollutant concentrations are sufficiently high.
- 102. Construction activities which disturb the ground (including excavation, piling and underground trenchless crossings of obstructions such as roads and railways) could potentially introduce contaminants into the underlying groundwater bodies (particularly shallow aquifers). These activities could therefore adversely affect the quality of the underlying groundwater (including the Principal Aquifer and any

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- secondary aquifers) and could potentially impact upon any licensed and unlicensed abstractions within it.
- 103. The scale of the potential impact upon a surface catchment or body of groundwater is likely to be proportional to the area of each catchment that would be affected during construction (i.e. the total footprint of construction activities as shown in *Table 20.15*). The impacts on each receptor resulting from the accidental release of contaminants are summarised in *Table 20.17*.





Table 20.17 Impacts Resulting from the Accidental Release of Fuels, Oils, Lubricants, Foul Waters and Construction Materials

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	An area of approximately 68,918m² would be disturbed by construction activities. Although this accounts for approximately 16% of the parcel of land, there are no significant surface drainage features in the area and therefore no mechanism for impact on surface water receptors.	No impact	-	-	-
Hundred River	Low	High	An area of approximately 303,549m² would be affected by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 1.17% of the total surface drainage catchment. Although this is a small proportion of the total catchment, activities will take place within and adjacent to the watercourse. This means that there is a direct route for any contaminants generated to easily enter the surface drainage system through surface runoff without natural attenuation. Furthermore, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise contaminant generation from construction activities along the onshore cable route.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	An area of approximately 94,885m² would be affected by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 0.59% of the total surface drainage catchment. The small proportion of the catchment affected and the distance between the proposed onshore development area and the surface watercourses mean that any contaminants	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse





Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
			generated are likely to be naturally attenuated before they can enter the surface drainage system. Furthermore, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise contaminant generation from construction activities along the onshore cable route.				
Friston Watercourse	Low	High	An area of approximately 229,290m² would be affected by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 3.80% of the total surface drainage catchment. However, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise contaminant generation from the onshore substation locations. Furthermore, construction activities will not be undertaken immediately adjacent to the watercourse.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Groundwater	High	High	An area of approximately 696,642m² would be affected by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 0.05% of the total groundwater body. This means that any adverse impacts are likely to be spatially limited. Furthermore, the embedded measures outlined in <i>Table 20.4</i> will minimise contaminant generation from construction activities along the onshore cable route and at the onshore substation locations.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse



20.6.1.3.2Additional Mitigation Measures

- 104. The embedded measures to intercept drainage described in *Table 20.4* will help to mitigate the accidental release of contaminants by preventing the immediate discharge of contaminated water from the onshore cable corridor into the surface drainage network. Furthermore, the potential for impacts associated with the accidental release of fuels, oils, lubricants, construction materials, foul waters and other contaminants will be reduced by the following additional measures:
 - Buffer strips of vegetation will be retained adjacent to the Hundred River and Friston Watercourse, where possible, to intercept surface runoff and any dissolved or particulate contaminants associated with it; and
 - Cable installation activities will be designed to ensure that they will not affect groundwater in any significant manner. Excavations will be shallow (approximately 1.61m under the ground surface, although they may be slightly deeper beneath watercourse and service crossings) and significantly above the level of the Principal Aquifer. If subsurface works are required in SPZ1 or SPZ2, the construction methodology will stipulate that the best available techniques are used for any installations, to be agreed in advance with the Environment Agency. Furthermore, a hydrogeological risk assessment meeting the requirements of Groundwater Protection Principles and Practice (GP3) (Environment Agency 2017) will be undertaken for any trenchless crossing locations in SPZ2 or SPZ3. If significant risks are identified, alternatives to cross the SPZ will be considered.

20.6.1.3.3 Impacts Following Mitigation

105. Following the implementation of these additional mitigation measures, the potential for accidental release of contaminants from construction activities is reduced to an effect of negligible magnitude within the Hundred River and Friston Watercourse. The effect would remain negligible in the Leiston Beck and the groundwater body. The residual impact resulting from the accidental release of fuels, oils, lubricants, foul waters and construction materials would therefore be minor adverse in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and underlying groundwater (*Table 20.17*). There will be no impacts upon surface water receptors in the coastal fringe.

20.6.1.4 Impact 4: Changes to Surface Water Runoff and Flood Risk

20.6.1.4.1 Impacts Prior to Mitigation

106. The initial site preparation and construction activities associated with the proposed onshore development area have the potential to alter surface water flows and drainage patterns by:

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- Altering existing flow paths and changing the distribution of surface drainage across development sites and along the onshore cable route;
- Reducing infiltration and increasing surface runoff as a result of soil compaction by construction vehicles;
- De-watering the cable trench and removal of the water through infiltration or discharge into the surface drainage network;
- Increasing the proportion of impermeable surfaces in a catchment and therefore reducing infiltration. The development of surface infrastructure also has the potential to change surface flows and infiltration rates as a result of changes to land use (i.e. by increasing the proportion of impermeable surfaces in a drainage catchment) and alter site runoff characteristics;
- Temporary changes to surface flows as a result of the trenched crossing of the Hundred River (see section 20.6.1.1), particularly if the capacity of any pumps, flumes and temporary watercourse crossing (bridge or culvert) is exceeded; and
- Changes to subsurface flow patterns resulting from changes to infiltration rates, surface flows and the installation of impermeable subsurface infrastructure.
- 107. The construction of the proposed East Anglia TWO project therefore has the potential to increase surface water runoff, which could adversely affect the hydrology and geomorphology of the surface drainage network (e.g. as a result of increased discharge resulting in bed and bank scour, and the in wash of greater volumes of fine sediment due to increased surface runoff, as discussed in **section 20.6.1.1**). This could also affect in-channel habitats for fish and other aquatic organisms (see **Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology**).
- 108. Any changes in surface flows could also increase flood risk in the proposed onshore development area, particularly third party land and property in areas within Flood Zones 2 or 3. The proposed onshore development area passes largely through agricultural land, with some residential and agricultural buildings located in proximity to the proposed onshore development area. Third party land and property could therefore be affected along the length of the proposed onshore development area as a result of alterations to surface water flows, run off and drainage patterns.
- 109. The area of direct impact within the construction footprint (as shown in *Table 20.15*) and the number of watercourse crossings are used as a proxy for the assessment of potential changes to surface water runoff and flood risk within each surface drainage catchment. Note that more detailed information regarding potential flood risk impacts are provided in *Appendix 20.1*.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 110. It is important to note that the changes to surface water runoff and flood risk assessed in detail for each catchment below are expected to be relatively localised, and would not be sufficient to cause a major accident or disaster.
- 111. The proposed East Anglia TWO project will include embedded mitigation measures to control surface runoff during the construction phase, including the creation of drainage channels to intercept water from the cable trench and onshore cable corridor. These measures, which are described in more detail in **section 20.3.3**, will help to control the release of surface waters from onshore development activities and prevent changes to surface runoff and flood risk. With the embedded measures in place, the magnitude of effect is considered below.
- 112. The impacts on each receptor resulting from increased surface water runoff are summarised in *Table 20.18*.





Table 20.18 Impacts Resulting from Increased Surface Water Runoff and Flood Risk

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	Although approximately 13% of the parcel of land could be affected by construction activities, there are no significant surface drainage features in the area and therefore no mechanism for impact on surface water receptors.	No impact	-	-	-
Hundred River	Low	High	Approximately 1.27% of the total surface drainage catchment could be affected by construction activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows. Furthermore, there will be a single watercourse crossing point in the catchment.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	Approximately 0.65% of the total surface drainage catchment could be affected by construction activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows. However, there will be no watercourse crossings in the catchment.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Approximately 5.59% of the total surface drainage catchment However, there will be no watercourse crossings in the catchment.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Groundwater	High	High	Approximately 0.06% of the groundwater body could be affected by construction activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



20.6.1.4.2Additional Mitigation Measures

- 113. In addition to the embedded mitigation measures to intercept site drainage that are described in **section 20.3.3**, the potential for impacts associated with changes to surface water runoff and flood risk will be reduced by the following additional measure:
 - Existing land drains along the onshore cable route and at the East Anglia TWO and National Grid substations will be reinstated following construction. A local specialised drainage contractor will undertake surveys to locate drains and create drawings both pre- and post-construction, and ensure appropriate reinstatement. The pre-construction drainage plan will include provisions to minimise water within the working area and ensure ongoing drainage of surrounding land.

20.6.1.4.3 Impacts Following Mitigation

114. Following the implementation of this additional mitigation measure, the potential for increased surface runoff and flood risk is reduced to an effect of negligible magnitude within the Hundred River and Friston Watercourse catchments. The effect will remain negligible in the Leiston Beck and underlying groundwater. The residual impact resulting from the increase in surface runoff and flood risk would therefore be **minor adverse** in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and underlying groundwater (*Table 20.18*). There will be no impacts surface water receptors in the coastal fringe.

20.6.2 Potential Impacts during Operation

- 115. Two potential impacts on water resources and flood risk receptors resulting from the operational stage have been identified:
 - Changes to surface water runoff, groundwater flows and flood risk; and
 - Supply of fine sediment and other contaminants.
- 116. These impacts are discussed in detail in the subsequent subsections.
- 20.6.2.1 Impact 1: Changes to Surface Water Runoff, Groundwater Flows and Flood Risk
- 20.6.2.1.1 Impacts Prior to Mitigation
- 117. The permanent above-ground infrastructure, including the onshore substation, National Grid substation and any new, permanent access tracks will result in permanent changes to land use. In most cases, the change in use from existing greenfield agricultural land use is likely to create a permanent increase in impermeable area. Changes in land use are detailed further within *Chapter 21*

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



Land Use. Although permeable surface treatments will be used where possible, jointing pits along the onshore cable route, and the onshore substation and National Grid substation are expected to comprise impermeable surfaces, with associated infrastructure such as roads also comprising impermeable surfaces.

- 118. An increase in the proportion of impermeable surfaces in a sub-catchment will result in a corresponding decrease in local infiltration and an increase in surface runoff. Furthermore, the presence of the buried cable ducting along the onshore cable route will introduce an impermeable barrier that has the potential to impact upon subsurface flow routes and change the distribution of groundwater by changing subsurface flow patterns and forcing water to move upwards (i.e. towards the surface) or downwards (away from the surface).
- 119. There is therefore potential for changes in surface water runoff resulting from the increase in impermeable areas and changes to subsurface flows. These could be sufficient to impact upon the hydrology (e.g. by increasing surface water volumes and flow velocities) of the surface water system and result in permanent changes to geomorphology by increasing rates of bed and bank erosion and encouraging geomorphological adjustment. Any geomorphological changes could also impact upon in-channel habitat conditions for aquatic organisms (specific impacts are discussed in *Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology*). Impacts on geomorphology and inchannel habitats are likely to be particularly marked if drainage from a large area is discharged at a discrete location within the existing surface drainage network.
- 120. Any changes in the proportion of groundwater contained in surface waters (e.g. due to an increase in surface runoff, or an increase or decrease in groundwater upwelling) could potentially alter water chemistry and impact upon the quality of water-dependent habitats.
- 121. As well as impacts on geomorphology and in-channel habitats, changes to surface drainage patterns could also increase flood risk to third party land and property, especially if the discharge of any drainage is not sufficiently controlled. Furthermore, watercourse crossing locations have the potential to increase flood risk elsewhere should they not be reinstated to pre-construction channel capacities (i.e. any reductions in channel capacity could increase local flood risk).
- 122. Any changes in the proportion of groundwater contained in surface waters (e.g. due to an increase in surface runoff, or an increase or decrease in groundwater upwelling) could potentially alter water chemistry and impact upon the quality of water-dependant habitats. There is also potential for the presence of the buried cable ducting throughout the onshore cable route to impact upon the level of recharge and the distribution of groundwater within the aquifers that underlie the

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- proposed onshore development area (including shallow aquifers and deeper Principal Aquifers).
- 123. The scale of the potential impact upon a sub-catchment is likely to be proportional to the area of permanent infrastructure in each catchment during operation. This was estimated based on the area of the onshore cable corridor, onshore substation, National Grid substation and permanent access roads within each catchment (*Table 20.19*).

Table 20.19 Maximum Area of Permanent Development in Each Water Receptor

Catchment	Total area of permanent development					
	m²	%				
Coastal fringe	2,230	0.54				
Hundred River	9,990	0.04				
Leiston Drain	3,960	0.02				
Friston Watercourse	96,380	1.60				
Groundwater	112,560	0.01				

- 124. As detailed in **section 20.3.3**, the project will include embedded mitigation measures to reduce the potential for impact. This includes limiting discharge from the onshore substation to the greenfield runoff rate, creation of a new attenuation pond at the onshore substation and creation of increased storage volume at the National Grid substation.
- 125. The impacts on each receptor resulting from increased surface water runoff, altered subsurface flows and changes to flood risk are summarised in *Table 20.20*.





Table 20.20 Impacts Resulting from Increased Surface Water Runoff, Altered Groundwater Flows and Changes to Flood Risk During Operation

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	ed Surface Water Runoff, Altered Groundwater Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	An area of approximately 2,230m² could be affected by permanent development activities, which accounts for approximately 0.54% of the parcel of land. However, there are no significant surface drainage features in the area and therefore no mechanism for impact on surface water receptors.	No impact	-	-	-
Hundred River	Low	High	An area of approximately 9,990m² could be affected by permanent development activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows. This accounts for approximately 0.04% of the total surface drainage catchment.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	An area of approximately 3,960m ² could be affected by permanent development activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows. This accounts for approximately 0.02% of the total surface drainage catchment.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Friston Watercourse	Low	High	An area of approximately 96,380m² could be affected by permanent development activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows. This accounts for approximately 1.60% of the total surface drainage catchment.	Low	Moderate adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse





Preliminary Environmental Information Report

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Groundwater	High	High	An area of approximately 112,560m² could be affected by permanent development activities with the potential to alter surface and subsurface flows. This accounts for approximately 0.01% of the total groundwater body.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



20.6.2.1.2Additional Mitigation Measures

- 126. In addition to the embedded mitigation measures to intercept site drainage from operational infrastructure that are described in **section 20.3.3**, the potential for impacts associated with changes to surface water runoff and flood risk will be reduced by the following additional measure:
 - Existing land drains along the onshore cable route and at the East Anglia TWO and National Grid substations will be reinstated following construction. A local specialised drainage contractor will undertake surveys to locate drains and create drawings both pre- and post-construction, and ensure appropriate reinstatement. The pre-construction drainage plan will include provisions to minimise water within the working area and ensure ongoing drainage of surrounding land.

20.6.2.1.3Impacts Following Mitigation

127. Following the implementation of this additional mitigation measure, the potential for increased surface runoff and flood risk during the operational phase is reduced to an effect of negligible magnitude in the Friston Watercourse catchment. The effect will remain negligible in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck and underlying groundwater. The residual impact resulting from the increase in surface runoff and flood risk would therefore be **minor adverse** in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and underlying groundwater (*Table 20.20*). There will be no impacts surface water receptors in the coastal fringe.

20.6.2.2 Impact 2: Supply of Fine Sediment and Other Contaminants 20.6.2.2.1 Impacts Prior to Mitigation

- 128. The operation of the proposed East Anglia TWO project, including planned and unplanned maintenance at the onshore substation, National Grid substation and along the onshore cable route, could result in the supply of fine sediment, fuels, oils and lubricants from the road network and other impermeable surfaces. This could potentially affect the geomorphology and water quality in the surface drainage network.
- 129. There is potential for an increase in sediment supply to surface waters during operation via mechanisms such as enhanced surface runoff from the permanent above-ground development (**section 20.6.2.1**), which could impact upon the geomorphology and surface water quality of the river water bodies, and consequently impact upon aquatic ecology.
- 130. Furthermore, there is potential for the supply of contaminants to surface waters during operation through surface runoff or accidental spillage or leakage of fuel oils or lubricants from vehicles during operational activities, which could impact upon

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- surface water quality and that of connected groundwaters. This could have subsequent impacts upon aquatic ecology and the use of water resources for licensed and unlicensed abstractions.
- 131. As outlined in **section 20.3.3**, foul drainage at the onshore substation and National Grid substation will be collected through a mains connection to the existing local authority sewer system if available, or collected in a septic tank and transported off site for disposal at a licensed facility. The specific approach will be determined during detailed design with consideration for the availability of mains connection and the number of visiting hours for site attendees during operation.
- 132. The potential for the contamination of groundwaters will be significantly reduced by using inert solid plastic insulation within the cables, rather than oil insulated cables, therefore removing the potential for fluid leakage from the cables during operation.
- 133. The area of above-ground infrastructure has been used as a proxy to indicate the extent to which operational activities may be required in each catchment. The impacts on each receptor resulting from the supply of fine sediment and other contaminants during operation are summarised in *Table 20.21*.





Table 20.21 Impacts Resulting from the Supply of Fine Sediment and Other Contaminants during Operation

Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	ply of Fine Sediment and Other Contaminants Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	Permanent development would be 0.54% of the catchment, and there is no requirement to undertake routine maintenance (although some planned and unplanned activities may be necessary during the operational life of the project). Furthermore, there are no significant surface drainage features in the area and therefore no mechanism for impact on surface water receptors.	No impact	-	-	-
Hundred River	Low	High	Infrastructure along the cable route has a limited spatial extent (0.04% of the catchment), and there is no requirement to undertake routine maintenance (although some planned and unplanned activities may be necessary during the operational life of the project).	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	Infrastructure along the cable route has a limited spatial extent (0.02% of the catchment), and there is no requirement to undertake routine maintenance (although some planned and unplanned activities may be necessary during the operational life of the project).	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse
Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Although the spatial extent of infrastructure accounts for 1.60% of the catchment, the embedded mitigation measures described in section 20.3.3 will control the accidental	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse

Preliminary Environmental Information Report





Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Assessment	Magnitude prior to mitigation	Significance prior to mitigation	Magnitude following mitigation	Residual impact
			release of foul drainage and surface water drainage from the operational East Anglia TWO and National Grid substation locations.				
Groundwater	High	High	The potential for adverse impact will be significantly reduced through the use of inert solid plastic insulated cables in place of oil insulated cables, thereby removing the potential for fluid leakage into groundwater. There is no requirement to undertake routine maintenance and therefore no potential for groundwater contamination from plant along the cable route (although some planned and unplanned activities may be necessary during the operational life of the project). Furthermore, the embedded mitigation measures described in section 20.3.3 will control the accidental release of foul drainage and surface water drainage (including potential contaminants from operational plant that could enter surface and groundwaters) from the operational East Anglia TWO and National Grid substation locations.	Negligible	Minor adverse	Negligible	Minor adverse



20.6.2.2.2Additional Mitigation Measures

134. The negligible impact along the onshore cable route means that there is no requirement to introduce any additional mitigation measures in the Hundred River and Leiston Beck or Friston Watercourse catchments, or to prevent the direct contamination of groundwater.

20.6.2.2.3 Impacts Following Mitigation

135. The effect will remain negligible in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and underlying groundwater. The residual impact resulting from the increase in surface runoff and flood risk would therefore be **minor adverse** in the Hundred River, Leiston Beck, Friston Watercourse and underlying groundwater (*Table 20.21*). There will be no impacts surface water receptors in the coastal fringe.

20.6.3 Potential Impacts during Decommissioning

136. No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the proposed East Anglia TWO onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.

20.7 Cumulative Impacts

20.7.1 Cumulative Impacts with the Proposed East Anglia ONE North Project

- 137. The East Anglia ONE North offshore windfarm project (the proposed East Anglia ONE North project) is also in the pre-application stage. The proposed East Anglia ONE North project will have a separate DCO application but is working to the same programme of submission as the proposed East Anglia TWO project. The two projects will share the same landfall and cable route and the two onshore substations will be co-located.
- 138. The proposed East Anglia TWO project CIA will therefore initially consider the cumulative impact with only the East Anglia ONE North project.
- 139. The CIA considers the proposed East Anglia TWO project and the proposed East Anglia ONE North project under two construction scenarios:





- Scenario 1 the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project are built simultaneously; and
- Scenario 2 the proposed East Anglia TWO project and the proposed East Anglia ONE North project are built with a construction gap.
- 140. The worst case (based on the assessment of these two construction scenarios) for each impact is then carried through to the wider CIA which considers other developments which are in close proximity to the proposed East Anglia TWO project (section 20.7.2). The operational phase impacts will be the same irrespective of the construction scenario. For a more detailed description of the assessment scenarios please refer to Chapter 5 EIA Methodology.
- 141. Full assessment of scenario 1 and scenario 2 can be found in *Appendix 20.4*. This assessment found that scenario 2 represented the worst case impacts for water resources and flood risk. A summary of those impacts can be found in *Table 20.22*.





Table 20.22 Summary of Potential Impacts Identified for Water Resources and Flood Risk under Construction Scenario 2

Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
Construction							
Impact 1: Direct disturbance of surface water bodies	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Measures to minimise the impacts of temporary watercourse crossings, install infrastructure below the active bed of the channel, and reinstate the bed and banks.	-
	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	No impact	-		-
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	No impact	-		-
	Groundwater	High	High	No impact	-		-
Impact 2: Increased sediment supply	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Additional construction best practice measures to manage sediment and surface drainage.	-
	Hundred River	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse		Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	No impact	-		-
Impact 3: Accidental release of contaminants	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact		Development of a CMS	-
	Hundred River	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse	with best practice	Minor adverse







Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	pollution control measures.	Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	_	Minor adverse
Impact 4: Changes	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Measures to minimise	-
to surface water	Hundred River	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse	the impact of temporary culverts and manage construction drainage.	Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	_	Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	-	Minor adverse
Cumulative Operation	onal Impacts with the pr	oposed East	Anglia ONE N	lorth project	1		
Impact 1: Changes	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Development of a	-
to surface water	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	 surface water drainage plan. 	Minor adverse



Preliminary Environmental Information Report

Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
runoff, ground water flows and flood risk	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Medium	Major adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	-	Minor adverse
Impact 2: Supply of	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Buffer strips	-
fine sediment and other contaminants	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse



20.7.2 Cumulative Impact Assessment with Other Developments

142. The assessment of cumulative impacts has been undertaken here as a two stage process. Firstly, all impacts considered in section 20.6 have been assessed for the potential to act cumulatively with other projects. Potential cumulative impacts are set out in Table 20.23.

Table 20.23 Potential Cumula	tive Impacts	-
Impact	Potential for Cumulative Impact	Rationale
Construction		
Impact 1: Direct Disturbance of Surface Water Bodies	Yes	Cumulative direct impacts arising from two or more projects are possible. Impacts to surface water receptors e.g. geomorphology, hydrology and physical habitat value, may occur where project boundaries are located within the same catchment.
Impact 2: Increased Sediment Supply	Yes	Cumulative direct impacts arising from two or more projects are possible. Construction activities of projects within the same catchment could increase the potential for the erosion of soil particulates, resulting in an increase in the supply of fine sediment (e.g. clays, silts and fine sands) to surface watercourses through surface runoff and the erosion of exposed soils.
Impact 3: Accidental Release of Contaminants	Yes	Cumulative direct impacts arising from two or more projects are possible where they occur within the same catchment. There is the potential for the accidental release of lubricants, fuels and oils from construction machinery through spillage, accidental release of foul waters (from welfare facilities), leakage and in-wash from vehicle storage areas after rainfall and direct release from construction machinery working in and adjacent to surface watercourses. There is also the potential for accidental release of construction materials (including concrete and inert drilling fluids) into the surface waters and connected groundwaters during construction.
Impact 4: Changes to Surface Water Runoff and Flood Risk	Yes	Cumulative direct impacts arising from two or more projects are possible. Changes to surface water flows and drainage patterns may occur where project boundaries are located within the same catchment.
Operation		
Impact 1: Changes to Surface Water Runoff, Groundwater Flows and Flood Risk	Yes	Cumulative direct impacts arising from two or more projects are possible. There is the potential for changes in surface water runoff resulting from the increase in impermeable areas and changes to subsurface flows, where project boundaries are located within the same catchment.



if projects occur in the same catchment. There is also potential for the supply of contaminants to surface waters during operation through surface runoff or accidental spillage or leakage of fuel oils or lubricants during operational activities, which could impact upon surface water quality and that of connected	Impact	Potential for Cumulative Impact	Rationale
groundwaters.	Sediment and Other	Yes	projects are possible. There is potential for an increase in sediment supply to surface waters during operation via mechanisms such as enhanced surface runoff from the permanent above-ground development if projects occur in the same catchment. There is also potential for the supply of contaminants to surface waters during operation through surface runoff or accidental spillage or leakage of fuel oils or lubricants during operational activities, which could impact upon

Decommissioning

No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the proposed East Anglia TWO onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.

- 143. The second stage of the CIA is an assessment of whether there is temporal or spatial overlap between the extent of potential effects of the onshore infrastructure and the potential effects of other projects scoped into the CIA upon the same receptors. To identify whether this may occur, the potential nature and extent of effects arising from all projects scoped into the CIA have been identified and any overlaps between these and the effects identified in **section 20.6**. Where there is an overlap, an assessment of the cumulative magnitude of effect is provided.
- 144. Following a review of projects which have the potential to overlap temporally or spatially with the proposed East Anglia TWO project, one development (the Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station) has been scoped into the CIA. *Table 20.24* provides detail regarding the project.
- 145. The full list of projects for consideration will be updated following PEIR and agreed in consultation with local authorities. The remainder of the section details the nature of the cumulative impacts against all those receptors scoped in for cumulative assessment.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 146. Potential cumulative impacts between the proposed East Anglia TWO project, proposed East Anglia ONE North project and the Sizewell C New Nuclear Power station could potentially arise in surface drainage catchments and groundwater bodies where activities from both projects occur. Cumulative impacts have therefore been considered in relation to groundwater and the following surface water receptors:
 - Leiston Beck: This catchment would contain a small proportion of the proposed onshore development area, and the majority of the Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station development (including the Main Development Site, rail extensions and rail terminal); and
 - Hundred River: This catchment would contain part of the proposed onshore development area and the onshore substation(s) and National Grid infrastructure. The catchment would also contain part of the Sizewell C Blue Rail Extension Route.



Table 20.24 Summary of Projects Considered for the CIA in Relation to Water Resources and Flood Risk

Project	Status	Development period	² Distance from East Anglia TWO proposed onshore development area (km)	Project definition	Level of information available		Rationale
Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station	Scoping Opinion Adopted by the Secretary of State (SoS) on 02.06.2014	Uncertain	0.49km	Full Scoping Report Available: https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010012/EN010012-000103-Sizewell%20C%20EIA%20Scoping%20Report_Main%20text.pdf	Tier 5 ³	No	Potential cumulative impacts could arise in surface drainage catchments and groundwater bodies where activities from both projects occur.

² Shortest distance between the considered project and East Anglia TWO– unless specified otherwise

³ Based on criteria outlined in section 5.7.2 of Chapter 5 EIA Methodology

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



20.7.2.1 Cumulative Impacts during Construction

20.7.2.1.1 Cumulative Impact 1: Direct Disturbance of Surface Water Bodies

- 147. The proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project will result in the direct disturbance of the Hundred River, with a single trenched watercourse crossing and temporary haul road crossing structure being installed on the river near Aldringham. The proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project will not result in the direct disturbance of watercourses within any other surface drainage catchments, including the Leiston Drain in which the majority of the Sizewell C Main Development Site will be located. The proposed Sizewell C Blue Rail Extension Route (a temporary extension of the Saxmundham-Leiston branch line) would also cross the upper reaches of the Hundred River near Knodishall Green. This means that there is potential for a cumulative impact to occur as a result of multiple phases and areas of disturbance occurring on the same watercourse.
- 148. The residual impact resulting from the direct disturbance of the Hundred River resulting from the construction phase of the proposed East Anglia TWO project (under scenario 2) would be minor adverse. The Applicant will seek (in so far as practicable) to minimise the works with regard to timeframe, cabling would be installed below the active bed of the channel, and the bed and banks of the channel would be reinstated following completion of the construction process. Although the crossing technique that would be adopted by the Sizewell C Blue Rail Extension Route has not been defined in the Sizewell C scoping report, it is likely that a culvert or bridge would be used. This would need to minimise disturbance to the watercourse and ensure that water and sediment can be conveyed downstream of the structure in order to maintain flows and prevent upstream increases in flood risk.
- 149. On this basis, it is considered that the cumulative impact of the direct disturbance of the Hundred River resulting from the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project cable crossing and Sizewell C Blue Rail Route crossing is considered to be **minor adverse**, since each crossing would have a negligible to low impact on the river.

20.7.2.1.2Cumulative Impact 2: Increased Sediment Supply

- 150. Construction activities could increase the potential for the erosion and entrainment of soil particulates, resulting in an increase in the supply of fine sediment (e.g. clays, silts and fine sands) to surface watercourses through surface runoff and the erosion of exposed soils.
- 151. Construction activities associated with the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project and the Sizewell C Main Development Site, Rail Extensions and Rail Terminal overlap in the Leiston Beck catchment.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



Furthermore, the construction activities for part of the Blue Rail Extension Route will also take place within the Hundred River catchment. Therefore, there is the potential for a cumulative impact to occur in these catchments as a result of increased sediment supply during the construction phases of these projects.

- 152. The residual impact on increased sediment supply resulting from the proposed East Anglia TWO project during construction (under scenario 2) would be minor adverse in the Leiston Beck and Hundred River catchments, following the implementation of embedded and additional mitigation. An area of approximately 94,885m² of the Leiston Beck catchment would be disturbed by construction activities (accounting for approximately 0.59% of the total surface drainage catchment). Furthermore, an area of approximately 303,549m² of the Hundred River catchment would be disturbed by construction activities. This accounts for approximately 1.17% of the total surface drainage catchment. The small proportion of the catchments affected and the distance between the majority of construction activities within the proposed onshore development area and the surface watercourses mean that any sediment generated is likely to be naturally intercepted before it can enter the surface drainage system.
- 153. Furthermore, each project is likely to adopt similar best practice mitigation measures which would avoid, reduce, or offset the direct effects of increased sediment supply. These will include a suite of best practice pollution control measures, the retention of buffer strips adjacent to watercourses, and avoiding storage of materials close to watercourses for the proposed East Anglia TWO project and similar construction-stage drainage and sediment runoff-control measures for the different components of the Sizewell C development. These measures are considered highly likely to reduce the significance of effect to an acceptable level. As a result of this mitigation, the cumulative effect is not likely to increase in magnitude. The cumulative impact of increased sediment supply is therefore considered to be minor adverse as the proposed construction works for the Sizewell C development are not anticipated to exacerbate the impact of increased sediment supply to the Leiston Beck or Hundred River catchments.
- 154. Further to this, there is no pathway for increased sediment supply to impact on groundwater as a result of the proposed East Anglia TWO project, therefore no cumulative impact is predicted for this receptor and the residual impact will remain as **minor adverse**.
- 20.7.2.1.3 Cumulative Impact 3: Accidental Release of Contaminants
- 155. As stated in **section 20.7.2.1.2**, construction activities for the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project and the Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station project will overlap in the Leiston Beck catchment and, to a lesser extent, the Hundred River catchment.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



- 156. There is therefore the potential for the accidental release of lubricants, fuels and oils from construction machinery through spillage, leakage and in-wash from vehicle storage areas after rainfall and direct release from construction machinery working in and adjacent to surface watercourses in these catchments. There is also the potential for accidental release of foul waters (from welfare facilities) and construction materials (including concrete and inert drilling fluids) into the surface waters and connected groundwaters during construction. These construction activities have the potential to have an adverse impact upon water quality if contaminants enter the surface drainage network or percolate into groundwater.
- 157. The residual impact resulting from the accidental release of fuels, oils, lubricants, foul waters and construction materials is assessed as being minor adverse in the Leiston Beck and Hundred River catchments and underlying groundwater, following the implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures as part of the proposed East Anglia TWO project.
- 158. Despite the potential for a cumulative impact to arise from the overlapping of these two construction projects, each project would adopt best practice mitigation measures which would avoid, reduce or offset the effects of accidental release of contaminants to surface or ground water bodies. Therefore, the cumulative impact is not considered to increase from the **minor adverse** effect anticipated as a result of the proposed East Anglia TWO project alone assessment.

20.7.2.1.4 Cumulative Impact 4: Changes to Surface Water Runoff and Flood Risk

- 159. Due to the geographical overlap of the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project and the Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station project there is the potential for a cumulative impact on surface water and runoff and flood risk during construction. Construction activities for these two projects will overlap in the Leiston Beck and Hundred River catchments. However, only approximately 0.66% of the total surface drainage area of the Leiston Beck catchment and 1.27% of the Hundred River catchment would be affected by the East Anglia TWO construction activities. Therefore, the residual impact on changes to surface water runoff and flood risk during construction within the Leiston Beck catchment is minor adverse.
- 160. The proposed East Anglia TWO project will include embedded mitigation measures to control surface runoff during the construction phase, including the creation of drainage channels to intercept water from the cable trench and onshore cable corridor. Furthermore, land drains along the cable route will be reinstated following construction. The Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station is also expected to incorporate a similar range of measures to prevent changes to surface water runoff and flood risk during the construction phase.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



161. Considering the localised nature of any potential impact and the implementation of best practice mitigation measures on both projects, it is anticipated that the cumulative impact would not increase from the **minor adverse** anticipated on the proposed East Anglia TWO project alone assessment.

20.7.2.2 Cumulative Impacts during Operation

- 20.7.2.2.1Cumulative Impact 5: Changes to Surface Water Runoff, Groundwater Flows and Flood Risk
- 162. An increase in the proportion of impermeable surfaces in a sub-catchment will result in a corresponding decrease in local infiltration and an increase in surface runoff. Furthermore, the presence of the buried cable ducting along the onshore cable route will introduce an impermeable barrier that has the potential to impact upon subsurface flow routes and change the distribution of groundwater by changing subsurface flow patterns and forcing water to move upwards (i.e. towards the surface) or downwards (away from the surface).
- 163. The operational phases of Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station and the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project could cumulatively alter surface runoff, groundwater flows and flood risk due to the geographical overlap of the projects within the Leiston Beck and, to a lesser extent, Hundred River catchments.
- 164. However, the area affected by permanent development from the proposed East Anglia TWO project accounts for approximately only 0.02% of the Leiston Beck catchment and 0.04% of the Hundred River catchment, which means that any changes resulting from the project are considered to be of negligible magnitude and minor adverse significance. In addition, the implementation of best practice mitigation measures to prevent changes in runoff during the operational stage adopted by both projects would avoid, reduce or offset the effects of increased surface runoff and altered groundwater flows. These mitigation measures in conjunction with the small scale and localised impact predicted within the Leiston Beck and Hundred River catchments from the proposed East Anglia TWO project are therefore anticipated to result in a **minor adverse** cumulative impact.

20.7.2.2.2Cumulative Impact 6: Supply of Fine Sediment and Other Contaminants

- 165. The operation of the proposed East Anglia TWO project and proposed East Anglia ONE North project, including planned and unplanned maintenance could result in the supply of fine sediment, fuels, oils and lubricants from the road network and other impermeable surfaces. This could potentially affect the geomorphology and water quality in the surface drainage network.
- 166. Due to the geographical overlap of the proposed East Anglia TWO project and the Sizewell C New Nuclear Power Station within the Leiston Beck and Hundred River



- catchments, there is the potential for cumulative impacts to occur during the operational phase of works.
- 167. However, the small area affected by the proposed East Anglia TWO project within the Leiston Beck and Hundred River catchments (0.02 and 0.04%, respectively) and the best practice mitigation measures implemented on both projects during operation, the cumulative impact anticipated is not considered to increase from the minor adverse impact predicted for the proposed East Anglia TWO project.

20.7.2.3 Cumulative Impacts during Decommissioning

168. No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.

20.8Inter-relationships

169. A summary of the likely inter-related effects arising from the proposed East Anglia TWO project on water resources and flood risk is provided in *Table 20.25*.

Table 20.25 Inter-relationships for Water Resources and Flood Risk

Inter-relationship all Phases and Linked Chapter	Section where Addressed	Rationale
Chapter 18 Ground Conditions and Contamination	Sections 20.5.5 and 20.7	Impacts upon groundwater bodies: Potential impacts on ground conditions could affect the quality and quantity of groundwater and hydrologically-connected surface waters.
Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology	Sections 20.5.5 and 20.7	Surface water related impacts upon designated sites and habitats: Potential impacts on the condition of designated surface water habitats could impact upon the ecological receptors supported by these features.





20.9Interactions

170. The impacts identified and assessed in this chapter have the potential to interact with each other, which could give rise to synergistic impacts as a result of that interaction. The areas of interaction between impacts are presented in *Table* 20.26, along with an indication as to whether the interaction may give rise to synergistic impacts.

Table 20.26 Interactions Between Impacts on Water Resources and Flood Risk

	ons between Impact			
Construction stag	e impacts			
	Impact 1: Direct disturbance of surface water bodies	Impact 2: Increased sediment supply	Impact 3: Accidental release of contaminants	Impact 4: Changes to surface water runoff and flood risk
Impact 1: Direct disturbance of surface water bodies	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
Impact 2: Increased sediment supply	Yes	-	Yes	Yes
Impact 3: Accidental release of contaminants	Yes	Yes	-	No
Impact 4: Changes to surface water runoff and flood risk	Yes	Yes	No	-
Operation stage in	npacts			
	Impact 1: Changes runoff, ground wa flood risk		Impact 2: Supply of and other contami	
Impact 5: Changes to surface water runoff, ground water flows and flood risk	-		Yes	





Impact 6: Supply	Yes	-
of fine sediment		
and other		
contaminants		

Decommissioning stage impacts

No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the proposed East Anglia TWO onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.

20.10Summary

- 171. A summary of the findings of the PEIR for water resources and flood risk is presented in *Table 20.27*. In accordance with the assessment methodology, this table should only be used in conjunction with the additional narrative explanations provided in *section 20.1*. This demonstrates that, post mitigation, all impacts have a maximum residual impact of minor adverse. There will therefore be no impacts resulting from the proposed East Anglia TWO project that are considered to be significant in EIA terms (i.e. moderate or major adverse).
- 172. A summary of potential cumulative impacts for water resources and flood risk is presented in *Table 20.27*.





Table 20.27 Potential Impacts Identified for Water Resources and Flood Risk

Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
Construction							
Impact 1: Direct	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Measures to minimise the	-
disturbance of surface water bodies	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	impacts of temporary watercourse crossings, install infrastructure	Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	No impact	-	below the active bed of the channel, and reinstate	-
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	No impact	-	the bed and banks.	-
	Groundwater	High	High	No impact	-		-
Impact 2: Increased	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Additional construction	-
sediment supply	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	best practice measures to	Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	No impact	-		-
Impact 3: Accidental	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Development of a CMS	-
release of contaminants	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	with best practice	Minor adverse





Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	pollution control measures.	Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
mpact 4: Changes	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Measures to minimise the	-
to surface water runoff and flood risk	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	impact of temporary culverts and manage construction drainage.	Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	-	Minor adverse
Operation						1	
mpact 1: Changes	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Development of a surface water drainage plan.	-
o surface water	Hundred River	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse





Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
runoff, ground water flows and flood risk	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
Impact 2: Supply of	Coastal fringe	Negligible	Negligible	No impact	-	Buffer strips	-
fine sediment and other contaminants	Hundred River	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	Buffer strips	Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
	Friston Watercourse	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High Negligible Minor adverse		Minor adverse		

Decommissioning

No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the proposed East Anglia TWO onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.





Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
Cumulative Impact v	with Other Developm	nents – Construc	tion				
Impact 1: Direct disturbance of surface water	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	Measures to minimise the impacts of temporary	Minor adverse
oodies	Leiston Beck	Low	High	No impact	-	watercourse crossings, install infrastructure	-
	Groundwater	High	High	No impact	-	below the active bed of the channel, and reinstate the bed and banks.	-
Impact 2: Increased sediment supply	Hundred River	Low	High	practice measures to	practice measures to	Minor adverse	
	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	 manage sediment and surface drainage. 	Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	No impact	-		-
Impact 3: Accidental release of	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	Development of a CMS with best practice	Minor adverse
contaminants	Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	— pollution control measures.	Minor adverse
	Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
Impact 4: Changes to surface water	Hundred River	Low	High	Low	Moderate adverse	Measures to minimise the impact of temporary	Minor adverse





Receptor	Sensitivity	Value	Magnitude	Significance	Examples of Potential Mitigation Measures	Residual Impact
Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	culverts and manage construction drainage.	Minor adverse
Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
vith Other Developm	ents - Operation	al		1		
Hundred River	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	Development of a surface water drainage plan.	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
Hundred River	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse	N/A	Minor adverse
Leiston Beck	Low	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
Groundwater	High	High	Negligible	Minor adverse		Minor adverse
	Leiston Beck Groundwater with Other Developme Hundred River Leiston Beck Groundwater Hundred River Leiston Beck	Leiston Beck Groundwater High with Other Developments - Operation Hundred River Low Leiston Beck Groundwater High Hundred River Low Leiston Beck Low Low Leiston Beck Low	Leiston Beck Low High Groundwater High With Other Developments - Operational Hundred River Low High Leiston Beck Low High Groundwater High High Hundred River Low High Leiston Beck Low High High Hundred River Low High	Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Groundwater High High Negligible with Other Developments - Operational Hundred River Low High Negligible Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Groundwater High High Negligible Hundred River Low High Negligible Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Hundred River Low High Negligible Hundred River Low High Negligible	Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Minor adverse Groundwater High High Negligible Minor adverse vith Other Developments - Operational Hundred River Low High Negligible Minor adverse Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Minor adverse Groundwater High High Negligible Minor adverse Hundred River Low High Negligible Minor adverse Hundred River Low High Negligible Minor adverse Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Minor adverse Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Minor adverse	Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Minor adverse culverts and manage construction drainage. Groundwater High High Negligible Minor adverse with Other Developments - Operational Hundred River Low High Negligible Minor adverse Leiston Beck Low High Negligible Minor adverse Groundwater High High Negligible Minor adverse Hundred River Low High Negligible Minor adverse N/A

Cumulative Impact with Other Developments – Decommissioning

No decision has been made regarding the final decommissioning policy for the proposed East Anglia TWO onshore infrastructure as it is recognised that industry best practice, rules and legislation change over time. However, the proposed East Anglia TWO substation will likely be removed and be reused or recycled. It is expected that the onshore cables will be removed and recycled, with the transition bays and cable ducts (where used) left *in situ*. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and agreed with the





Potential Impact Receptor Sensitivity Value Magnitude Significance Examples of Potential Residual Mitigation Measures Impact

regulator. A decommissioning plan will be provided. As such, for the purposes of a worst-case scenario, impacts no greater than those identified for the construction phase are expected for the decommissioning phase.



20.11References

Construction Industry Research and Information Association (2001) Control of water pollution from construction sites: Guidance for consultants and contractors (C532).

Defra and Environment Agency (EA), (2015) Anglian River Basin District: River Basin Management Plan.

Defra, (2017) Water Abstraction Plan, [Online], Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/water-abstraction-plan-2017 Accessed 31 July 2018.

Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG), (2018) National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

DCLG, (2014) National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) on Flood Risk and Coastal Change.

Department of Energy and Climate Change, (2011a) Overarching NPS for Energy (EN-1), [Online], Available:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/47854/1 938-overarching-nps-for-energy-en1.pdf Accessed 23 March 2018.

Department of Energy and Climate Change, (2011b) NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3), [Online], Available:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/37048/1940-nps-renewable-energy-en3.pdf Accessed 23 March 2018.

Department of Energy and Climate Change, (2011c) NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5), [Online], Available: http://www.nemo-link.com/pdf/cpo/The_National_Policy_Statement_for_Electricity_Networks_Infrastructure_(EN-5).pdf Accessed 23 March 2018.

East Suffolk Internal Drainage Board (IDB) (2016) Watershed Catchment Area [Online], Available: https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/ESIDB_Watershed.pdf Accessed 20th July 2018).

English Nature (1999) Leiston-Aldeburgh SSSI Citation, [Online], Available: https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/2000370.pdf Accessed 20 July 2018.

Environment Agency, (2016) Catchment Data Explorer, [Online], Available: http://environment.data.gov.uk/catchment-planning/ Accessed 23 July 2018.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



Environment Agency, (2012) Flood Zone Maps.

Environment Agency, (2017) Groundwater Protection: Principles and Practice.

European Commission (EC), (1992) Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC).

European Commission (EC), (2000) The Water Framework Directive (WFD) (Council Directive 2000/60/EC).

Flood and Water Management Act, (FWMA) (2010).

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) (2001a) Sandlings SPA Description, [Online], Available: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-2084-theme=default Accessed 20 July 2018.

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) (2001b) Minsmere – Walberswick SPA Description, [Online], Available: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=2009 Accessed 20 July 2018.

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) (undated) Minsmere to Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SAC Description, [Online], Available: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/sac.asp?EUCode=UK0012809 Accessed 20 July 2018.

Natural England (2010) Leiston-Aldeburgh SSSI Condition of SSSI Units, [Online], Available:

https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/ReportUnitCondition.aspx?SiteCode=S2 000370&ReportTitle=Leiston - Aldeburgh SSSI Accessed 20 July 2018.

Natural England (2018a) Sizewell Marshes SSSI, [Online], Available: https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/SiteDetail.aspx?SiteCode=S1003416 Accessed 20 July 2018.

Natural England (2018b) Minsmere-Walberswick Heaths and Marshes SSSI, [Online], Available

https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/SiteDetail.aspx?SiteCode=S1000721&SiteName=minsmere&countyCode=&responsiblePerson=&SeaArea=&IFCAArea=Accessed 20 July 2018.

Preliminary Environmental Information Report



Natural England (2018c) Alde-Ore Estuary SSSI, [Online], Available: https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/SiteDetail.aspx?SiteCode=S1003208 Accessed 20 July 2018.

ScottishPower Renewables (SPR), (2017a) East Anglia One North Offshore Windfarm Scoping Report.

ScottishPower Renewables (SPR), (2017b). East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm Scoping Report.

Suffolk County Council, (2012) Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment.

Suffolk County Council, (2016) Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy.

Suffolk Coastal District Council, (2013) Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan, [Online], Available: http://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/Core-Strategy-and-DMP/SCDC-Local-Plan-July-2013.pdf Accessed 26 June 2018.

Suffolk Coastal District Council, (2018) Suffolk Coastal First Draft Local Plan, [Online], Available:

http://consult.suffolkcoastal.gov.uk/consult.ti/LPRPO2018/viewCompoundDoc?docid=1 0262676 Accessed 30 July 2018.

Suffolk County Council, (2015) Suffolk's Nature Strategy 2015, [Online], Available: https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/assets/planning-waste-and-environment/suffolks-countryside-and-wildlife/Suffolks-Nature-Strategy-2015.pdf Accessed 22 June 2018.

Suffolk County Council, (2017) Our Priorities 2017 – 2021, [Online], Available: https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/assets/council-and-democracy/our-aims-and-transformation-programmes/Suffolk-County-Council-Priorities.pdf Accessed 22 June 2018.

The Water Framework Directive (Standards and Classification) Directions (England and Wales, (2015).

Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations, (2017).